Goal 1. Improve the understanding and philosophy among stakeholders, providers, and state agencies of Community Life Engagement based on accepted national standards (Four core pillars) and in alignment with best practice.

Long Term Outcome: Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement.

Indicator:

- Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) demonstrate understanding of the difference between community life engagement and community presence
- Meaningful conversations about Community Life Engagement are correctly documented in the ISP by the Support Coordinator

Strategy 1.1:	Improve understanding of (Community Life Engage	ment				
Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcome Initial	S -	Outcomes - Int	termediate	Outcomes – Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
DBHDS (Provider Development Staff?) DBHDS Provider Development staff	1.1.1 Develop training for support coordinators about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community presence 1.1.2 Develop training for Providers/DSPs about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community life engagement and community presence	Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided	Support Coordina increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey) Providers (DSPs) he increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey)	dge and ling /post nave dge and ling	86% of Support Coordinators tra better prepared community life of with individuals, and providers, a document those in the ISP, per a training survey months after the 86% of Providers trained recogniz difference betwee community "eng and "presence" better prepared "engagement"	to discuss engagement families nd discussions post three/six e training s (DSPs) e the een gagement" and are	Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement. (As evidenced through the SCQR documentation) (Note: a success indicator could be QSR review findings, QMR review findings, other quality reviews?)

				per a post training survey three/six month after training.	
CEAG/DBHDS	1.1.3 Develop a plan for dissemination of trainings	A plan is developed and implemented	Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs are aware of training opportunities and resources.	Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs participate in Community Living Engagement training (75% of case managers are trained; 1000 DSPs are trained)	

Strategy 1.2: Define a meaningful community life engagement conversation

Inputs	Activities	5	Outputs		Outcomes - Initial		Outcomes - Intermediate		Outcomes – Ultimate
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
DBHDS CEAG DMAS Self- Advocates (Quillo)	1.2.1 Define and document a meaningful conversation including the factors and characteristics necessary in order for a conversation to be meaningful 1.2.2 Based on the result of Activity 1.2.1, develop informational materials and resources regarding meaningful conversations		There is a share understanding what a meaning conversation is not	of gful	The shared underst facilitates further discussion and incre consensus about meaningful convers	eased	There is a culture toward more more conversations (a focus group discovers)	eaningful a survey or	Support Coordinators consistently facilitate meaningful conversations and appropriately document those conversations through SCQR
			Engaging and informative ma and resources the help to facilitate meaningful conversations a created and sha	that ee	Support Coordinate increased knowledg community life engagement and fa meaningful convers	ge about cilitating	86% of Support Coordinators w responded to a regarding acces using the resou what a meaning conversation is importance of t	ho survey sing and rces know gful and the	

1.2.3 Develop technical assistance examples for how to document a meaningful conversation	User friendly, simple "how to" resources to document a meaningful conversation are created and shared	Support Coordinators have increased knowledge and confidence in their documentation of meaningful conversations	facilitating meaningful conversations 86% of Support Coordinators who respond to a survey regarding the their use of the resources developed know documentation expectations and how to document meaningful conversations within 6 months after dissemination	
1.2.4 Develop a plan for dissemination	A plan is developed and implemented	Support Coordinators are aware of available resources to facilitate and document meaningful conversations opportunities and resources.	Support Coordinators access available resources and request technical assistance/guidance when needed (Follow up on how to calculate access and use)	

Quarterly Update:

Community Life Engagement Logic Model:

INPUTS, OUTPUTS, AND OUTCOMES

Goal 2. Improve the understanding of the services and supports that can lead to community life engagement.

Long-Term Outcome: Increase the number of individuals who have opportunities for community life engagement in alignment with best practices.

Indicators:

- # of people involved in CE, CC
- # of people using In Home/Companion for Community Involvement
- NCI data

Strategy 2.1: Increase understanding of Community Engagement/Coaching the services

Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes - Initial	Outcomes - Intermediate	Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN
DBHDS CEAG Providers DBHDS	2.1.1 Identify the allowable activities under CE/CC and how they lead to CLE	Creative examples of how CE/CC allowable activities can lead to community life engagement are developed and technical assistance is offered	Providers have concrete examples of providing CE/CC in a manner that supports CLE	There is an increase in the number of providers seeking TA to better ensure CLE when providing CE/CC services (need to track numbers to demonstrate an increase)	An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE
CEAG Providers Self-advocates	2.1.2 Identify best practices in CE/CC	 CE and CC providers who demonstrate excellent CLE results are identified Best practices based on interviews with identified providers/staff, interviews with individuals receiving CE/CC, review of ISPs, and observations of 	 Exemplary providers are recognized and feel a sense of pride and accomplishment with the recognition Best practices are shared in engaging vignettes/stories using multiple formats (training, 	The positive reinforcement furthers the commitment to ensuring meaningful CLE (track number of providers recognized and get their feedback regarding impact of recognition, possibly a focus group) Best practice resources are consistently	

service provision are cataloged and shared	print/digital, social media/Quillo)	referenced, promoted, and accessed resulting in increased CLE clarity and competency (can analytics be set up to track access to resources? DBHDS could track how often resources are shared e.g. provider roundtable, IFSP Council)	
		Council)	

Strategy 2.2: Understand how other services support CLE directly or indirectly

Inputs	Activities	vities Outputs		ts	Outcomes -	Outcomes - Initial		es - diate	Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
DBHDS DMAS CEAG Providers	to leverage other services for CLE leveraging identified services to facilitate CL		ntified	State agencies a stakeholders ha increased award service options directly or indirectly or indirectly	eve eness of that can	Increased awareness results in the development of useful resources and tools for providers		An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE	
Self-advocates			leveraging idenservices to facil	Best practice examples of leveraging identified services to facilitate CLE are developed and shared		Providers have increased clarity for integrating CLE into other services		ncreased ove the of CLE ervice	
	2.2.3 Provide FAQ of and not to do's relate utilization of CLE prac across all services	ed	An FAQ that inc		Providers have knowledge and assess their cur practices		Providers modi training and su	•	

4 Create a self-evaluation	is developed and shared	"Dos" and "Don'ts"	
1 Create a self-evaluation			
for providers to assess r level of CLE			
5 Create a tool for viduals and families to ss the level of CLE they are vided			
r	evel of CLE Create a tool for iduals and families to ss the level of CLE they are	level of CLE Create a tool for iduals and families to ss the level of CLE they are	level of CLE Create a tool for iduals and families to ss the level of CLE they are

Goal 3: Ensure Community Engagement services are being offered and provided to individuals across the state in the most integrated community settings based on the needs of the individual determined through the person centered planning process.

Long-Term Outcome: Providers who are successfully implementing Community Engagement in the community

Indicators:

- Regional Meetings held to discuss Community Engagement best practice.
- Training and Technical assistance provided as requested.

Strategy 3.1:	trategy 3.1: Identify areas of the state that do not currently have sufficient community life engagement providers in order identify additional providers									
Inputs	Activiti	es	Output	ts	Outcomes -	Initial	Outcome Intermedi		Outcomes - Ultimate	
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	
	3.1.1 CEAG to review DBHDS day service provider list and		increase capaci	Develop a plan to increase capacity in parts of the state where Increase provider capacity by 40%		•			There are no longer waitlists for services and individuals have	

	identify capacity of current providers to provide CE/CC	supports and services are under-represented			access to supports they need.
	3.1.2 Work with RQCs/Provider development to provide information to be used with providers about expanding services to include Community	Fact Sheets/Training that explain successful ways other providers have implemented the services and built capacity	SAA	SAA	SAA
Engagement 3.1.3 Develop a provider expert willing to supponencourage other	Engagement 3.1.3 Develop a group of provider experts who are willing to support and encourage other providers to	Create list of providers who are implementing Community Engagement according to the appropriate philosophy	Half of providers are willing to be mentors	All Providers are willing to be mentors	All providers deliver CLE according to appropriate philosophy
	transition to CLE	Create list of providers who need additional support shifting model to more appropriately align with philosophy	40% of providers transition to align their practices with CLE philosophy	80% of providers transition to align their practices with CLE philosophy	SAA
Strategy 3.2:	dentify current barriers to deliver	ing services			
Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes - Initial	Outcomes - Intermediate	Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN
	3.2.1 Conduct survey of providers to identify barriers to delivering CE/CC	Develop a plan to reduce those barriers.	Implement the plan and accomplish at least 35% of the plan	Implement the plan and accomplish at least 75% of the plan	Eliminate barriers to delivery of services
	3.2.2 Develop plan to address barriers				

	 Review allowable activities- guidance Review licensing regulations- guidance ISP requirements- guidance Risk and Liability 		
Quarterly Update	2:		

Goal 4: Ensure that there is an increase in meaningful Community Life Engagement for each individual.

Long-Term Outcome: Determine value of collecting and utilizing data to increase meaningful CLE

<u>Indicators:</u>

- Initial data tracked, reviewed and summarized.
- Additional data collection methodologies determined and implemented.
- New data tracked, analyzed, and summarized for impact on increasing community integration

Strategy 4.1: Review currently collected CLE data									
Inputs	Activities		Outputs		Outcomes -		Outcomes -		Outcomes –
					Initial		Intermediate		Ultimate
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN

4.1.1 Discuss data we would to collect, from what sources and how we collect that data (SCQR, QSR, WaMS, PCR, QR HSAG, Licensing, etc.)	method for collecting data	Collect data and begin reporting	Monitor data and identify potential recommendations based on data	All individuals who want service have access to the service
4.1.2 Monitor utilization of C through authorization data/claims data	E/CC Identify specific data and request data from OISS and Data Trust	Monitor data and identify potential recommendations based on data	Increased utilization of CE/CC throughout the Commonwealth	All individuals who want service have access to service
Quarterly Undate:				

Quarterly Update: