Goal 1. Improve the understanding and philosophy among stakeholders, providers, and state agencies of Community Life Engagement based on accepted national standards (Four core pillars) and in alignment with best practice.

Long Term Outcome: Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement.

Indicator:

- Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) demonstrate understanding of the difference between community life engagement and community presence
- Meaningful conversations about Community Life Engagement are correctly documented in the ISP by the Support Coordinator

Strategy 1.1:	Improve understanding of (Community Life Engage	ment				
Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcome Initial	S -	Outcomes - Int	termediate	Outcomes – Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
DBHDS (Provider Development Staff?) DBHDS Provider Development staff	1.1.1 Develop training for support coordinators about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community presence 1.1.2 Develop training for Providers/DSPs about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community life engagement and community presence	Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided	Support Coordina increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey) Providers (DSPs) he increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey)	dge and ling /post nave dge and ling	86% of Support Coordinators tra better prepared community life of with individuals, and providers, a document those in the ISP, per a training survey months after the 86% of Providers trained recogniz difference betwee community "eng and "presence" better prepared "engagement"	to discuss engagement families nd discussions post three/six e training s (DSPs) e the een gagement" and are	Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement. (As evidenced through the SCQR documentation) (Note: a success indicator could be QSR review findings, QMR review findings, other quality reviews?)

				per a post training survey three/six month after training.	
CEAG/DBHDS	1.1.3 Develop a plan for dissemination of trainings	A plan is developed and implemented	Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs are aware of training opportunities and resources.	Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs participate in Community Living Engagement training (75% of case managers are trained; 1000 DSPs are trained)	

Strategy 1.2: Define a meaningful community life engagement conversation

Inputs	Activities		Outputs		Outcomes - Initial		Outcomes - Intermediate		Outcomes – Ultimate
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
DBHDS CEAG DMAS Self- Advocates (Quillo)	1.2.1 Define and document a meaningful conversation including the factors and characteristics necessary in order for a conversation to be meaningful		The shared underst facilitates further discussion and incr consensus about meaningful convers	reased	There is a cultur toward more more conversations (a focus group disc SC?)	eaningful a survey or	Support Coordinators consistently facilitate meaningful conversations and appropriately document those conversations through SCQR		
	1.2.2 Based on the of Activity 1.2.1, de informational mat and resources regameaningful conver	evelop erials arding	Engaging and informative ma and resources thelp to facilitate meaningful conversations a created and sha	hat e ire	Support Coordinate increased knowled community life engagement and fameaningful convers	ge about	86% of Support Coordinators wh responded to a regarding access using the resour what a meaning conversation is importance of the	ho survey sing and rces know gful and the	

1.2.3 Develop technical assistance examples for how to document a meaningful conversation	User friendly, simple "how to" resources to document a meaningful conversation are created and shared	Support Coordinators have increased knowledge and confidence in their documentation of meaningful conversations	facilitating meaningful conversations 86% of Support Coordinators who respond to a survey regarding the their use of the resources developed know documentation expectations and how to document meaningful conversations within 6 months after dissemination	
1.2.4 Develop a plan for dissemination	A plan is developed and implemented	Support Coordinators are aware of available resources to facilitate and document meaningful conversations opportunities and resources.	Support Coordinators access available resources and request technical assistance/guidance when needed (Follow up on how to calculate access and use)	

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: Regional Quality Council presented information to the workgroup on fact sheet related to Integrated Community Involvement as a result of the work of one of the Regional Quality Council. Group provided feedback.

Quarter 2: Member requested feedback from community members related to CLE Matrix and CLE in all Services. Training developed on CLE Meaning and to be finalized in Quarter 3.

Quarter 3: Information gathered from case managers to understand challenges in discussing, accessing and ensuring true Community involvement.

Community Life Engagement Logic Model:

INPUTS, OUTPUTS, AND OUTCOMES

Goal 2. Improve the understanding of the services and supports that can lead to community life engagement.

Long-Term Outcome: Increase the number of individuals who have opportunities for community life engagement in alignment with best practices.

Indicators:

- # of people involved in CE, CC
- # of people using In Home/Companion for Community Involvement
- NCI data

Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes - Initial	Outcomes - Intermediate	Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN
DBHDS CEAG Providers DBHDS	2.1.1 Identify the allowable activities under CE/CC and how they lead to CLE	Creative examples of how CE/CC allowable activities can lead to community life engagement are developed and technical assistance is offered	Providers have concrete examples of providing CE/CC in a manner that supports CLE	There is an increase in the number of providers seeking TA to better ensure CLE when providing CE/CC services (need to track numbers to demonstrate an increase)	An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE
CEAG Providers Self-advocates	2.1.2 Identify best practices in CE/CC	 CE and CC providers who demonstrate excellent CLE results are identified Best practices based on interviews with identified providers/staff, interviews with individuals receiving CE/CC, review of ISPs, and observations of 	 Exemplary providers are recognized and feel a sense of pride and accomplishment with the recognition Best practices are shared in engaging vignettes/stories using multiple formats (training, 	The positive reinforcement furthers the commitment to ensuring meaningful CLE (track number of providers recognized and get their feedback regarding impact of recognition, possibly a focus group) Best practice resources are consistently	

service provision are	print/digital, social	referenced, promoted,	
cataloged and shared	media/Quillo)	and accessed resulting in	
		increased CLE clarity and	
		competency (can	
		analytics be set up to	
		track access to	
		resources? DBHDS could	
		track how often	
		resources are shared e.g.	
		provider roundtable, IFSP	
		Council)	

Strategy 2.2: Understand how other services support CLE directly or indirectly

Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes - Initial	Outcomes - Intermediate	Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN IF	THEN
DBHDS DMAS CEAG	2.2.1 Identify each service that can directly or indirectly impactle		State agencies and stakeholders have increased awareness of service options that can	Increased awareness results in the development of useful resources and tools for	An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE
Providers			directly or indirectly impact CLE	providers	
Self-advocates			impact CLL		
	2.2.2 Provide examples of ho to leverage other services for CLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	increased clarity for integrating CLE into	Providers use increased clarity to improve the incorporation of CLE practices into service provision	
	2.2.3 Provide FAQ of to do's and not to do's related utilization of CLE practices across all services	An FAQ that includes CL "Dos" and "Don'ts"	Providers have knowledge and tools to assess their current CLE practices	Providers modify their training and supervision	

	across identified services is developed and shared	of staff to incorporate "Dos" and "Don'ts"	
2.2.4 Create a self-evaluation tool for providers to assess their level of CLE			
2.2.5 Create a tool for individuals and families to assess the level of CLE they are provided			

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: CEAG has been working on CLE Matrix to help individuals and families as well as providers to determine where they are in the progression of Community Life Involvement. Additionally, the team has been working to review how CLE can occur in all services to ensure individuals are meaningfully connected to their community.

Quarter 2: CEAG gathering additional information on CLE Matric and CLE in all services. Documents to be finalized in the next quarter.

Quarter 3: Information gathered from case managers to understand challenges in discussing, accessing and ensuring true Community involvement.

Goal 3: Ensure Community Engagement services are being offered and provided to individuals across the state in the most integrated community settings based on the needs of the individual determined through the person centered planning process.

Long-Term Outcome: Providers who are successfully implementing Community Engagement in the community

Indicators:

- Regional Meetings held to discuss Community Engagement best practice.
- Training and Technical assistance provided as requested.

Strategy 3.1:	Identify areas of the state that do r	not currently have sufficient o	community life engagemen	t providers in order identify	additional providers

Inputs	Activities		Outputs		Outputs		Outcomes -	Initial	Outcome Intermed		Outcomes - Ultimate								
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN										
	day service provi	day service provider list and increase capacity in parts of the state where providers to provide CE/CC supports and services are		increase capacity in parts of the state where		increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are		increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are		increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are		increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are		increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are			Increase provide capacity by 80%		There are no longer waitlists for services and individuals have access to supports they need.
	3.1.2 Work with development to performation to be providers about eservices to include Engagement	orovide e used with expanding	Fact Sheets/Training that explain successful ways other providers have implemented the services and built capacity Create list of providers who are implementing Community Engagement according to the appropriate philosophy Create list of providers who need additional support shifting model to		Half of providers are willing to be mentors 40% of providers transition to align their practices with CLE philosophy		SAA		SAA										
	3.1.3 Develop a g provider experts willing to support encourage other transition to CLE	who are t and					All Providers are willing to be mentors 80% of providers transition to align their practices with CLE philosophy		All providers deliver CLE according to appropriate philosophy										
									SAA										

Inputs	Activities		Output	ts	Outcomes -	Initial	Outcon Interme		Outcomes - Ultimate
IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN
	3.2.1 Conduct surve providers to identification delivering CE/CC 3.2.2 Develop pland barriers Review allo activities- g Review lice regulations ISP required guidance Risk and Lia	to address wable guidance nsing - guidance ments-	Develop a plan those barriers.	to reduce	Implement the accomplish at le of the plan	•	Implement the accomplish at of the plan	•	Eliminate barriers to delivery of services

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: DBHDS collects data on the number of providers of CE/CC through the provider data summary as well as the number of individuals currently using services through the Integrated Day Services report. DBHDS also provides grants through Jump Start Funding. CEAG should review this information and determine next steps.

Quarter 2: CEAG discussed the membership application and guidelines and need additional representation on the committee to ensure all information is well vetted. Request for additional members will be sent out after the holidays in Q3.

Quarter 3: Membership applications reviewed and new members invited to participate. The workgroup also reviewed the most current data and requested additional information related to data reported.

Goal 4: Ensure that there is an increase in meaningful Community Life Engagement for each individual.

Long-Term Outcome: Determine value of collecting and utilizing data to increase meaningful CLE *Indicators:*

- Initial data tracked, reviewed and summarized.
- Additional data collection methodologies determined and implemented.
- New data tracked, analyzed, and summarized for impact on increasing community integration

Strategy 4.1:	Review	currently	, collected	CLF data
Juaices 4.T.	IVENIEW	Cullellin	/ COHECLEU	CLL uata

Inputs	Inputs Activities		Outputs		Outcomes -		Outcomes –
				Initial		Intermediate	Ultimate
IF	THEN IF	THEN	IF	THEN	IF	THEN IF	THEN
	4.1.1 Discuss data we would to collect, from what sources and how we collect that data (SCQR, QSR, WaMS, PCR, QR HSAG, Licensing, etc.)	method for collect		Collect data and begin reporting		Monitor data and identify potential recommendations based on data	All individuals who want service have access to the service
	4.1.2 Monitor utilization of C through authorization data/claims data	CE/CC Identify specific of request data from Data Trust		Monitor data and identify potential recommendations based on data		Increased utilization of CE/CC throughout the Commonwealth	All individuals who want service have access to service

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: DBHDS collects data on the number of providers of CE/CC through the provider data summary as well as the number of individuals currently using services through the Integrated Day Services report. DBHDS also provides grants through Jump Start Funding. CEAG should review this information and determine next steps.

Quarter 2: CEAG review current data available in Q3 and make recommendations related to additional data needed.

Quarter 3: Data reviewed and follow up needed to ensure that the data is a true representation of service availability.