Goal 1. Improve the understanding and philosophy among stakeholders, providers, and state agencies of Community Life Engagement based on accepted national standards (Four core pillars) and in alignment with best practice.

Long Term Outcome: Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement.

Indicator:

- Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) demonstrate understanding of the difference between community life engagement and community presence
- Meaningful conversations about Community Life Engagement are correctly documented in the ISP by the Support Coordinator

| Strategy 1.1: | Improve understanding of (| Community Life Engage | ment | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Outcome Initial | S - | Outcomes - Int | termediate | Outcomes – Ultimate |
| IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN |
| DBHDS (Provider Development Staff?) DBHDS Provider Development staff | 1.1.1 Develop training for support coordinators about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community presence 1.1.2 Develop training for Providers/DSPs about community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and the difference between community life engagement and community presence | Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided Training is advertised, information is disseminated and training is provided | Support Coordina increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey) Providers (DSPs) he increased knowled awareness regard Community Life Engagement (prey training survey) | dge and ling /post nave dge and ling | 86% of Support Coordinators tra better prepared community life of with individuals, and providers, a document those in the ISP, per a training survey months after the 86% of Providers trained recogniz difference betwee community "eng and "presence" better prepared "engagement" | to discuss engagement families nd discussions post three/six e training s (DSPs) e the een gagement" and are | Support Coordinators and Providers (DSPs) become creative champions of community life engagement. (As evidenced through the SCQR documentation) (Note: a success indicator could be QSR review findings, QMR review findings, other quality reviews?) |

| | | | | per a post training survey three/six month after training. | |
|------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| CEAG/DBHDS | 1.1.3 Develop a plan for dissemination of trainings | A plan is developed and implemented | Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs are aware of training opportunities and resources. | Support Coordinators and Providers/DSPs participate in Community Living Engagement training (75% of case managers are trained; 1000 DSPs are trained) | |

Strategy 1.2: Define a meaningful community life engagement conversation

| Inputs | Activities | | Outputs | | Outcomes - Initial | | Outcomes - Intermediate | | Outcomes – Ultimate |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------|--|----------|---|--|---|
| IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN |
| DBHDS CEAG DMAS Self- Advocates (Quillo) | conversation inclu factors and charac | 1 Define and understanding of understanding of what a meaningful conversation is and is not versation to be | | of gful | The shared understanding facilitates further discussion and increased consensus about meaningful conversations | | There is a cultur toward more more conversations (a focus group disc SC?) | eaningful a survey or | Support Coordinators consistently facilitate meaningful conversations and appropriately document those conversations through SCQR |
| | 1.2.2 Based on the result of Activity 1.2.1, develop informational materials and resources regarding meaningful conversations | | Engaging and informative ma and resources thelp to facilitate meaningful conversations a created and sha | hat e ire | Support Coordinate increased knowled community life engagement and fameaningful convers | ge about | 86% of Support Coordinators wh responded to a regarding access using the resour what a meaning conversation is importance of the | ho survey sing and rces know gful and the | |

| 1.2.3 Develop technical assistance examples for how to document a meaningful conversation | User friendly, simple "how to" resources to document a meaningful conversation are created and shared | Support Coordinators have increased knowledge and confidence in their documentation of meaningful conversations | facilitating meaningful conversations 86% of Support Coordinators who respond to a survey regarding the their use of the resources developed know documentation expectations and how to document meaningful conversations within 6 months after dissemination | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1.2.4 Develop a plan for dissemination | A plan is developed and implemented | Support Coordinators are aware of available resources to facilitate and document meaningful conversations opportunities and resources. | Support Coordinators access available resources and request technical assistance/guidance when needed (Follow up on how to calculate access and use) | |

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: Regional Quality Council presented information to the workgroup on fact sheet related to Integrated Community Involvement as a result of the work of one of the Regional Quality Council. Group provided feedback.

Quarter 2: Member requested feedback from community members related to CLE Matrix and CLE in all Services. Training developed on CLE Meaning and to be finalized in Quarter 3.

Quarter 3: Information gathered from case managers to understand challenges in discussing, accessing and ensuring true Community involvement.

Quarter 4: DBHDS developed a training for Individuals and families during the fourth quarter around Community Life Engagement

Community Life Engagement Logic Model:

INPUTS, OUTPUTS, AND OUTCOMES

Goal 2. Improve the understanding of the services and supports that can lead to community life engagement.

Long-Term Outcome: Increase the number of individuals who have opportunities for community life engagement in alignment with best practices.

Indicators:

- # of people involved in CE, CC
- # of people using In Home/Companion for Community Involvement
- NCI data

Strategy 2.1: Increase understanding of Community Engagement/Coaching the services

| Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Outcomes - Initial | Outcomes - Intermediate | Outcomes - Ultimate |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN |
| DBHDS CEAG Providers DBHDS | 2.1.1 Identify the allowable activities under CE/CC and how they lead to CLE | Creative examples of how CE/CC allowable activities can lead to community life engagement are developed and technical assistance is offered | Providers have concrete examples of providing CE/CC in a manner that supports CLE | There is an increase in the number of providers seeking TA to better ensure CLE when providing CE/CC services (need to track numbers to demonstrate an increase) | An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE |
| CEAG Providers Self-advocates | 2.1.2 Identify best practices in CE/CC | CE and CC providers who demonstrate excellent CLE results are identified Best practices based on interviews with identified providers/staff, interviews with individuals receiving CE/CC, review of ISPs, and observations of | Exemplary providers are recognized and feel a sense of pride and accomplishment with the recognition Best practices are shared in engaging vignettes/stories using multiple formats (training, | The positive reinforcement furthers the commitment to ensuring meaningful CLE (track number of providers recognized and get their feedback regarding impact of recognition, possibly a focus group) Best practice resources are consistently | |

| service provision are | print/digital, social | referenced, promoted, | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| cataloged and shared | media/Quillo) | and accessed resulting in | |
| | | increased CLE clarity and | |
| | | competency (can | |
| | | analytics be set up to | |
| | | track access to | |
| | | resources? DBHDS could | |
| | | track how often | |
| | | resources are shared e.g. | |
| | | provider roundtable, IFSP | |
| | | Council) | |
| | | | |

Strategy 2.2: Understand how other services support CLE directly or indirectly

| Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Outcomes - Initial | Outcomes - Intermediate | Outcomes - Ultimate |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN IF | THEN |
| DBHDS DMAS CEAG | 2.2.1 Identify each service that can directly or indirectly impactle | | State agencies and stakeholders have increased awareness of service options that can | Increased awareness results in the development of useful resources and tools for | An increased number of individuals experience meaningful CLE |
| Providers | | | directly or indirectly impact CLE | providers | |
| Self-advocates | | | impact CLL | | |
| | 2.2.2 Provide examples of ho to leverage other services for CLE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | increased clarity for integrating CLE into | Providers use increased clarity to improve the incorporation of CLE practices into service provision | |
| | 2.2.3 Provide FAQ of to do's and not to do's related utilization of CLE practices across all services | An FAQ that includes CL "Dos" and "Don'ts" | Providers have knowledge and tools to assess their current CLE practices | Providers modify their training and supervision | |

| | across identified services is developed and shared | of staff to incorporate "Dos" and "Don'ts" | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2.2.4 Create a self-evaluation tool for providers to assess their level of CLE | | | |
| 2.2.5 Create a tool for individuals and families to assess the level of CLE they are provided | | | |

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: CEAG has been working on CLE Matrix to help individuals and families as well as providers to determine where they are in the progression of Community Life Involvement. Additionally, the team has been working to review how CLE can occur in all services to ensure individuals are meaningfully connected to their community.

- Quarter 2: CEAG gathering additional information on CLE Matric and CLE in all services. Documents to be finalized in the next quarter.
- Quarter 3: Information gathered from case managers to understand challenges in discussing, accessing and ensuring true Community involvement.
- Quarter 4: Matrix near finalization with additional input received from individuals and families.

<u>Goal 3</u>: Ensure Community Engagement services are being offered and provided to individuals across the state in the most integrated community settings based on the needs of the individual determined through the person centered planning process.

Long-Term Outcome: Providers who are successfully implementing Community Engagement in the community

Indicators:

- Regional Meetings held to discuss Community Engagement best practice.
- Training and Technical assistance provided as requested.

| Strategy 3.1: | Identify areas of the state that do n | ot currently have sufficient o | community life e | ngagemen | t providers in order identify | additional providers |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Outcomes - | Initial | Outcomes - | Outcomes - Ultimate |

| Inputs | Activities | Outp | uts | Outcomes - | Initial | Outcon Interme | | Outcomes - Ultimate | | |
|---------------|---|---|---|------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| IF | THEN IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | | |
| | 3.1.1 CEAG to review DBH day service provider list an identify capacity of current providers to provide CE/CC | d increase capa of the state w supports and | Develop a plan to increase capacity in parts of the state where supports and services are under-represented | | crease capacity in parts capacity by 40% the state where upports and services are | | | Increase provious capacity by 80 | | There are no longer waitlists for services and individuals have access to supports they need. |
| | 3.1.2 Work with RQCs/Prodevelopment to provide information to be used wit providers about expanding services to include Communications. | explain success other provide implemented | · | | SAA | | | SAA | | |
| | 3.1.3 Develop a group of provider experts who are willing to support and encourage other providers transition to CLE | who are imple Community Endo | Create list of providers who are implementing Community Engagement according to the appropriate philosophy | | rs are entors | All Providers are willing to be mentors | | All providers deliver CLE according to appropriate philosophy | | |
| | | who need add support shiftii more appropr | Create list of providers who need additional support shifting model to more appropriately align with philosophy | | 40% of providers transition to align their practices with CLE philosophy | | ers lign their CLE | SAA | | |
| Strategy 3.2: | Identify current barriers to d | elivering services | | | | | | | | |

| Inputs | Activities | | Outputs | | Outcomes - Initial | | Outcomes - Intermediate | | Outcomes - Ultimate |
|--------|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|----|---|----|--|
| IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN |
| | 3.2.1 Conduct surve providers to identification delivering CE/CC 3.2.2 Develop plant barriers Review allowactivities-Review lice regulation ISP require guidance Risk and Li | ify barriers to n to address owable guidance ensing s- guidance ements- | Develop a plan those barriers. | to reduce | Implement the accomplish at loof the plan | • | Implement the accomplish at of the plan | • | Eliminate barriers to delivery of services |

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: DBHDS collects data on the number of providers of CE/CC through the provider data summary as well as the number of individuals currently using services through the Integrated Day Services report. DBHDS also provides grants through Jump Start Funding. CEAG should review this information and determine next steps.

Quarter 2: CEAG discussed the membership application and guidelines and need additional representation on the committee to ensure all information is well vetted. Request for additional members will be sent out after the holidays in Q3.

Quarter 3: Membership applications reviewed and new members invited to participate. The workgroup also reviewed the most current data and requested additional information related to data reported.

Quarter 4: New members joined the meeting and started to help identify barriers and concerns. All regions are now represented on the workgroup to provide better information around service needs and supports

Goal 4: Ensure that there is an increase in meaningful Community Life Engagement for each individual.

Long-Term Outcome: Determine value of collecting and utilizing data to increase meaningful CLE

Indicators:

- Initial data tracked, reviewed and summarized.
- Additional data collection methodologies determined and implemented.
- New data tracked, analyzed, and summarized for impact on increasing community integration

Strategy 4.1: Review currently collected CLE data

| Inputs | Activities | | Outputs | | Outcomes - | | Outcomes - | | Outcomes – |
|--------|--|--------------------|---|----|---|----|---|--------------|---|
| | | | | | Initial | | Intermedi | ate | Ultimate |
| IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN | IF | THEN |
| | 4.1.1 Discuss data we we to collect, from what so and how we collect that (SCQR, QSR, WaMS, PCHSAG, Licensing, etc.) | ources, at data | Identify data, sou method for collec | | Collect data and begin reporting | | Monitor data a identify poten recommendat based on data | tial ions | All individuals who want service have access to the service |
| | 4.1.2 Monitor utilization of CE/CC through authorization data/claims data | | Identify specific or request data from Data Trust | | Monitor data and identify potential recommendations based on data | | Increased utili of CE/CC throu the Commonw | ıghout | All individuals who want service have access to service |

Quarterly Update:

Quarter 1: DBHDS collects data on the number of providers of CE/CC through the provider data summary as well as the number of individuals currently using services through the Integrated Day Services report. DBHDS also provides grants through Jump Start Funding. CEAG should review this information and determine next steps.

Quarter 2: CEAG review current data available in Q3 and make recommendations related to additional data needed.

Quarter 3: Data reviewed and follow up needed to ensure that the data is a true representation of service availability.

Quarter 4: DBHDS and team are reviewing data around CE and CC and have also implemented a quality improvement initiative to increase access to services and supports.