Overvie	W: QII Toolkit Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	Figure 3. Model for Improvement
QII Defined	A DBHDS Quality Improvement Initiative (QII) is defined as "strategies designed to support quality improvement activities, whose implementation and use follow the PDSA cycle to achieve these improvements. QIIs seek to improve systems and processes to achieve desired outcomes; strengthen areas of weakness, to prevent and/or substantially mitigate future risk of harm." (Source: QIC and QIC Subcommittees Protocol)	Aim What are we trying to accomplish? Measure
What is the purpose of this toolkit?	The purpose of this toolkit is to help the Quality Improvement Committee (QIC) subcommittees develop and implement a QII. It includes tools to help a subcommittee identify causes and solutions of a problem, select and prioritize a QII topic, answer the 'Three Questions' (Aim, Measure, Change) and complete the steps of the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Cycle.	How will we know that a change is improvement? Change What changes can we
Why do we need this toolkit?	DBHDS has adopted the Model for Improvement as the framework for conducting quality improvement initiatives (QII) (See Figure). This framework involves using data to identify an area for improvement, developing an Aim Statement, establishing a Measure, identifying changes that could result in improvement, using the Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle to plan and study the progress. This strategy is most effective when team members collaborate to develop and design the QII. This toolkit serves as a tool to help them do that.	make that will result in improvement?
When should this toolkit be used?	If the subcommittee has identified a potential area for improvement, they can use the tools in this toolkit to identify root causes, think through solutions, select from multiple QII ideas, and walk through the steps of the Model for Improvement. Each tool is described below. *At least one of these tools (Problems and Solutions, Could This be a QII?, Which QII Should We Choose?) is required to be completed before moving into the Three Questions and PDSA.	Act
Tools for RCA and Solutions	This is a list of tools and strategies that can help a team conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and identify solutions for the problem.	Study
*Problem and Solutions	This tool helps a team think through critical questions to help them better understand the problem and potential solutions.	Source of image: Institute for Healthcare Improvement
*Could This Be a QII?	The purpose of this tool is to help a subcommittee think through whether an identified problem could be solved using a QII approach.	
*Which QII Should We Choose?	The purpose of this scored tool is to help a subcommittee prioritize QII ideas and choose one QII idea, if there are multiple options.	
The Three Questions	This is the first tool for the Model for Improvement. The subcommittee will use it to describe the Background, Aim, Measure and Change for the OII.	
PDSA Worksheet	This is the Model for Improvement, focusing on the plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycle. The subcommittee will use it throughout the PDSA cycle to document efforts to plan, do, study and act.	
How should use this toolkit be used?	The toolkit is a living document that the subcommittee will use throughout the QII. The QIC subcommittee is responsible for completing the tools in this toolkit, completing the QII PDSA Worksheet and ensuring it is up to date. The team conducting the QII should review this toolkit each time they meet about the QII and complete each section sequentially as they go through the process. The subcommittee should create a COPY of the worksheet for each PDSA cycle. Quality improvement will be most effective when team members share their perspectives and collaborate.	
Where can this toolkit be found?	This document should be accessible to all subcommittee members at all times, and should be clearly labeled. If there are multiple versions, the file names should include the version and date. For DBHDS subcommittees: This toolkit should be saved in the subcommittee's Teams folder.	



Aim

## Tools to Help Conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA)

Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services

			Bevelopinental Selvice
Tool or technique	Brief description and examples	For more information	
5 Why's	Technique to identify why an event happened	CMS QAPI 5 Whys Tool	<u>IHI Toolkit</u>
Affinity Diagram	Use in conjunction with brainstorming	<u>Affinity diagram resource - Six Sigma Daily</u>	
Brainstorming	Technique for generating ideas	Brainstorm resource - Mindtools	
Check sheet	Tool to count the frequency of event occurrences	Check Sheet resource - CI Toolkit	
Driver Diagram	A visual display of the strategies that contribute to achieving a set goal or objective. Similar to a logic model.	Driver Diagram Resouce - UNC	<u>IHI Toolkit</u>
Fishbone Diagram or Cause and Effect Diagram	This is a technique to identify causes of a problem; it can be used to categorize ideas generated during brainstorming.	Fishbone Diagram Resource - CMS	<u>IHI Toolkit</u>
Pareto Chart / Pareto Analysis	This technique helps identify the most common issue and helps identify where to focus improvement efforts to maximize impact.	Pareto Chart Resource - iSixSigma	<u>IHI Toolkit</u>
Process Map	This is a technique to map a process to identify challenges and improve efficiency. Opportunities for improvement include: (a) Where breakdowns occur; (b) "Work arounds" that have been developed, (c) Variation; (d) Duplicate or unnecessary steps	Process Mapping - Six Sigma Study Guide	
Surveys, focus groups, key informant	These techniques can help you get more information from people		
interviews	doing the work and impacted by the work.		
Tools and strategies to identify so	lutions and select changes		
Your root cause analysis (See list above)	The process of identifying root causes can help identify solutions.		
Create or use a Driver Diagram	See above		
Creative thinking techniques	For example, brainstorming - see above		
Surveys, focus groups, key informant interviews	Be sure to include perspectives of the people doing the work.		
Review the best available evidence for what v	vorks:		
a) Literature, other evidence of effectiveness	Journal articles, evidence based practices	Sources of Evidence Based Practice - CDC	
b) Ideas of peers, experts in the field	Providers who have success, "bright spots"		
c) Guidelines	Manuals, guides, instructions, process maps		
d) What has worked at other organizations (copy)	Other states, similar agencies/institutions		
Use team-based decision strategies to select a change	Examples: a PICK chart, voting, a pro/con list, voting and ranking.	Group Techniques - Mindtools	PICK chart
NOTE: Many of these tools, and more, are als	to available in the IHI Quality Improvement Essentials Toolkit. Login requ	ired.	
nttp://www.ini.org/resources/Pages/Tools/Q			

## **Tool: Defining the Problem, Identifying Solutions**



Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services

These questions will help a team ensure that they have worked to understand the causes of a problem and identify potential solutions and changes to improve the problem. This will help answer the question "What changes can we make that will result in improvement?"

What is your problem statement? Include how you know it's a problem.

Our problem is... We know it's a problem because...

What tools have you used to understand the causes of the problem (e.g. why the data look the way they do, the reason the goal is not met.) Refer to the RCA Tool Checklist.

We used the.... (tool(s)). This tool showed us.....

What is causing your problem? You may not know all the causes but, based on your analysis, what are some key causes? The cause(s) of the problem are....

What tool(s) did you use to identify potential solutions/changes you can make to address the problem, or improve the outcome? Refer to the RCA Tool Checklist.

To identify solutions/changes, we used..... (tool(s)).

5 What change(s) will you make to address the root causes? What intervention will you try, based on your analysis?

The change(s) we will make are....

This information will help you fill out the PDSA Worksheet!

## Discussion Tool: Could This Problem Be Addressed Using a QII?



The purpose of this tool is to help a committee discuss whether the problem they are interested in solving could be addressed as a QII, as opposed to solving the problem through mitigating strategies or some other means. If the subcommittee answers 'Yes or maybe' to most or all questions, it could be a QII. Saying 'no' to one or more questions does not mean it cannot be a QII.

	Element	Discussion question	Yes or maybe	No or unknown	Additional Information	Examples
1	<u>Compelling data</u>	Do we have enough data to show this is a persistent, ongoing or concerning problem?			It is preferable to have at least 1 year of consistent data collected, via the same mechanism, with consistent data definitions.	Yes/maybe: Four quarters of data showing an outcome is below the desired goal. No/unknown: After meeting the goal for Quarters 1 and 2, the measure dipped below the goal for Quarters 3 and 4.
2	Systemic problem	Is there evidence that this is a system-wide problem, or region- wide for RQCs?			A system-wide problem would impact, or occur in, multiple regions, CSBs, providers, etc.	<b>Yes/maybe:</b> There are numerous providers in each region not meeting the outcome. <b>No/unknown:</b> There are fewer than 10 providers in 2 regions not meeting the outcome.
3	Complex problem	Is this a complex problem, where there are multiple possible causes and solutions?			If a problem is simple, or the solutions straightforward, the team may decide to try a mitigating strategy first instead of a QII.	Yes/maybe: For the problem of preventing Falls and Trips, there are many possible solutions at the individual, provider and community level. <b>No/unknown:</b> For the problem of fixing a specific type of medical equipment, the fix is straightforward and easy to implement.
4	Persistent problem	Have attempts been made to solve this problem (mitigating strategies) and they were not successful, or did not last?			It is important to understand previous attempts to solve the problem and explore why they did not work.	Yes/maybe: A health measure improved and met a goal after a statewide training, but went back to below-goal levels 6 months later. No/unknown: An attempt to improve a measure was achieved and maintained.
5	Varying problem	Could this problem be solved, at least in part, by expanding or standardizing best practices?			Some are addressing the problem better than others. Best practices that could be expanded or standardized include data collection, training, tools, processes, and protocols.	Yes/maybe: There are known strategies to reduce medication errors but they aren't being consistently implemented statewide. No/unknown: For a problem like increasing transportation providers in a low resource community, the best practices may be unknown and need more research.

## Tool: Which QII Should We Choose?

Directions: This tool will assist in choosing which potential area for improvement is the highest priority based on the needs of the individuals served and the organization. This process will consider such factors as high-risk, high-volume, or problem-prone areas that adversely affect outcomes and the quality of care. This tool is intended to be completed by the QI Team member, in conjunction with the QIC subcommittee. Begin by listing potential areas for improvement in the left-hand column. Then score each area in the following columns based on a rating system of 1 to 5 as defined below: 1 = very low, 2 = low, 3 = medium, 4 = high, or 5 = very high. The score for each item will auto-calculate in the final column. **Rating is subjective and is meant to be a guide and to stimulate discussion**. Potential areas for Improvement with a higher score indicate a higher priority.

	p							-
	1 = very low	2 = low	3 = medium	4 = high	5 = very high			
LIST EACH POTENTIAL QII. Consider areas identified through: Feedback from staff, families, individuals served, other incidents, near misses, unsafe conditions	PREVALENCE: The frequency at which data analysis reveals this as an issue.	RISK: The level of risk this issue poses, to the health, safety, and wellbeing of the individuals that DBHDS serves.	COST: The cost(s) incurred, by DBHDS, associated with this problem. This could include staff time, training, resources and/or bidden costs	RELEVANCE: The extent to which addressing this issue would affect individuals' quality of life and/or quality of care.	RESPONSIVENESS: The likelihood an initiative on this issue would address a need expressed by individuals, family and/or staff	FEASIBILITY: The ability of DBHDS to implement a QII on this issue, given current resources.	CONTINUITY: The level to which an initiative on this issue would support DBHDS goals and priorities.	TOTAL SCORE
								0
								0
								0
								0
								0
								0
Brief rationale for each score. In each	box that corresponds to	the potential QII and area	a, type a short rationale f	or how the committee arr	rived at the score.			
0								
0								
0								
0								
0								
0								

This tool was adapted from the "Prioritization Worksheet for Performance Improvement Projects" available from the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services online at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-Certification/QAPI/downloads/PIPPriorWkshtdebedits.pdf.



Background, Aim, Measure	and Change (T	he Three Questions)			Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	
	QIC Subcommittee		< Drop-down list	Update Dates:		
Quality	Date Proposed to QIC:					
Improvement	Date Approved by QIC:					
Improvement	Date Implemented:					
Initiative	Date Completed/Abandoned*:					
	Key Performance Area:		< Drop-down list			
<b>Background:</b> Why was this selected for a QII? Summarize we was it chosen over others? What data did you review or use? Why is it Reference the other tools you have completed in the QII Toolkit and bries.	hy you selected this for a QII. Why an important topic to address? fly summarize here.	This was selected for a QII because				
	Aim, M	easure, Change				
<b>Aim:</b> What is the overall goal you wish to achieve? The Aim nerstatement is measurable when it has a numeric baseline, a numeric date. It is connected to the Measure described in the next step. The Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic/Relevant and Time-book be based on baseline data. If available, benchmark data should be realistic and achievable. The population should be specified. The group would like to achieve the result and complete the QII. Defininterpreted in different ways. If baseline data are not available, exademonstrate how you plan to obtain it.	Our goal is to improvefor (priority population) to (desired %, rate, etc.) by (target date). The baseline was (%, rate, etc.) during (date or time frame for baseline).					
<b>Measure:</b> How will you use measurement to know a change What measure or data will you use? Is it a PMI or other type of m and denominator? What is the data source? How frequently will used, surveillance data can be used, or a proposed PMI that will du occurred.	is an improvement? Think about: easure? What is the numerator you track it? A current PMI can be emonstrate that a change has	(data source). If data being used secondary data source that can provide input to	I to measure QII o the QII.	is only available	yearly, consider adding a	
<b>Data Process and Attestation:</b> For each data sourt there is an approved, existing process document and attestation to change being measured. This will involve reaching out the busines system. If none, a process document must be developed and the <u>90 days of the QIC approving the QII.</u> The subcommittee recommo owner of the data source system are responsible for collaborating document.	ce being used in the QII, identify if that supports this QII and the ss owner of the data source <u>data attestation completed within</u> ending the QII and the business in developing the dataset process	<ul> <li>f List the dataset process document name: List the dataset attestation name: If none exist, indicate none:</li> <li>After the QIC has approved the QII, the Asst. Commissioner of Developmental Services and the QM Coordinator review and approve the dataset process document for use with the QII. This review will determine if the existing dataset process needs modification.</li> </ul>				
<b>Change:</b> What change(s) can you make that will result in impr causes of the problem and how does the change address them? If Tools and the Causes and Solutions Tool to help identify impactful unknown; the PDSA can focus on making a change to identify root	rovement? What are the root Refer to the Checklist of Analysis changes. Root causes can be causes.	The root cause(s) of this issue is(are)		The change(s) we	e plan to make are:	

PDSA Worksheet					Qua Imp Initi	lity rovement ative	Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	
Update dates: Enter the date each time you update this PDSA.								
Plan What change are you going to test for this PDSA? Clearly describe it. Think about it like writing a recipe, so that somebody else could follow your directions.	The change we are testing for this PDSA is In summary, our plan for testing this change is to							
Include who, what, when, where, why and now as needed. <u>NOTE: You will need a</u> <u>separate PDSA for each change.</u> Who will be involved in this PDSA? Whenever feasible, it will be helpful to involve direct care staff. RQCs should describe what they can do within the PDSA. For RQC QIIs, has a subcommittee been designated to facilitate implementation? Can the RQC implement this initiative on their own given their construct of meeting quarterly?	TASKS: List the tasks needed to test this change. You can add more rows for additional tasks as needed, or use the 'Additional Tasks' tab.	Owner	Indicator of Success	Anticipated Begin and End Dates: List both the begin and end dates for this task as a date range.	Date Completed	Final Result of this Task. Don't forget to update the 'Do' section as needed.	Comments	
<b>PLAN TO STUDY:</b> How will you study this change? First, make a prediction (i.e. hypothesis). Then, plan how you will test that prediction. Studying your change is different the measuring our other than the second studying your change is	PREDICTION: We think that when we make this change, the direct result will be							
resource or training, did it result in improved knowledge or practice? If you implemented a new tool, did people use it correctly ? What data or information do	The data or information we will use to study this prediction is: We will get this data/information by(describe how you will get it.)							
you need, and now will you get it? Then - what products will show your results? You can use tools such as a report, run chart, data table, presentation, etc.	The products that we will use to show our results are							
<b>RESOURCES:</b> What resources do you need to implement the initiative. Examples include: currently available or new resources needed: people, report development, technology needs, data needs, collaborations with other offices or agencies, etc. Are there resources you need beyond your authority to ask, direct or employ? This is an opportunity to share with the QIC.	The resources we need are:							
<b>Do</b> Describe what actually happened when you ran the test. Describe what	What happened was							
worked well. What positive aspects did you observe and experience? Describe the barriers or challenges. What made those things difficult? What impact did they have	What worked well was							
on the test? Describe how you collected and analyzed the data you needed.	The barriers and challenges were							
	We collected the data / information we needed by							
Study Describe the measured results and how they compared to the	The results of our data analysis showed							
predictions. What are the results of your data analysis? How did they compare to the predictions? Did the change result in the expected outcome? Describe any surprises	e The surprises or unexpected results were							
or unexpected results. What made them surprising? How did they impact your undersatnding of the test? What did you learn? How will this impact your next steps?	We learned This will impact what we do next by							
Act Describe what you learned and what you will do next: Adapt, Adopt or	We will () Adapt () Adopt () Abandon () Complete	this strategy becaus	e Ne	xt time, we will				
Abandon? Adapt: Change some things about this Plan and test it again. Adopt: This change worked and maybe we can 'hard wire' it into our practice, and expand it to other areas if appropriate. Abandon: This change did not work and is not worth trying again. We may revisit our AIM and Plan and start fresh.								

Background, Aim, Measure	and Change (T	he Three Questions)	Example	Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services			
	QIC Subcommittee	RMRC	< Drop-down list Update Dates:	7/1/2022			
Quality	Date Proposed to QIC:	7/1/202		10			
<b>Improvement</b>	Date Approved by QIC:	7/1/202		<u> </u>			
inipi overnene	Date Implemented:	V15/2022					
Initiative	Date Completed:	Pending					
	Key Performance Area:	This was selected for a OII because UITIs are the	< Drop-down list	arious incident in CHRIS			
<b>Background:</b> Why was this selected for a QII? Summarize w was it chosen over others? What data did you review or use? Why is it Reference the other tools you have completed in the QII Toolkit and bries.	hy you selected this for a QII. Why an important topic to address? fly summarize here.	This was selected for a QII because UTIS are the 2nd most frequently reported serious incident in CHRIS. UTIS can be very painful and lead to serious health problems such as sepsis and even death. A recent study of UTI CHRIS reports showed that UTIs are most common among people with SIS Level 6, people living in group homes, people over age 50, and women. (Note: real data.)					
	Aim, Me	easure, Change	tion) to (desired 0/ yets	ata) hu (taraat			
<b>Aim:</b> What is the overall goal you wish to achieve? The Aim nerstatement is measurable when it has a numeric baseline, a nume date. It is connected to the Measure described in the next step. <i>Specific, Measureable, Achievable, Realistic/Relevant and Time-babe based on baseline data. If available, benchmark data should b be realistic and achievable. The population should be specified. T group would like to achieve the result and complete the QII. Defir interpreted in different ways. If baseline data are not available, exdemonstrate how you plan to obtain it.</i>	eds to be measurable. An Aim ric goal, a population, and a target The Aim should be SMART: bound. The problem or issue should e used. The target %/rate should The target date is the date the he key terms that could be explain why; the QII should	date). The baseline was(%, rate, etc.) during (date or time frame for baseline). Our goal is to improve the rate of Level II or Level III UTIs for individuals with DD (priority population) to 20.2 per 1,000 (desired %, rate, etc.) by July 30, 2022 (target date). The baseline was 22.4 per 1,000 (%, rate, etc.) during October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020 (date or time frame for baseline) (Note: THIS BASELINE DATA IS REAL FOR THIS EXAMPLE.) Note: This would be a 10% reduction in UTIs.					
<b>Measure:</b> How will you use measurement to know a change What measure or data will you use? Is it a PMI or other type of m and denominator? What is the data source? How frequently will used, surveillance data can be used, or a proposed PMI that will d occurred.	is an improvement? Think about: neasure? What is the numerator you track it? A current PMI can be lemonstrate that a change has	We will measure every () in (data source). We will measure X) month or () quarter and obtain the data from Level II or Level III UTIs per 1,000 individuals (data	month or () quarter and obtain the rate of UTIs among individua matching CHRIS data with WaMS a source).	the data from Is on the DD waiver every ( data to obtain the rate of			
<b>Data Process and Attestation:</b> For each data sour there is an approved, existing process document and attestation change being measured. This will involve reaching out the busine system. If none, a process document must be developed and the <u>90 days of the QIC approving the QII.</u> The subcommittee recomm owner of the data source system are responsible for collaborating document.	the being used in the QII, identify if that supports this QII and the ss owner of the data source <u>data attestation completed within</u> ending the QII and the business g in developing the dataset process	Ist the dataset process document name: 29.13 Serious Incident Reports by type_Surveillance Rates;         29.13_29.15 RMRC Review Processes         List the dataset attestation name: 29.13 Serious Incident Attachment B:         If none exist, indicate none:         After the QIC has approved the QII, the Asst Ommissioner of Developmental Services and the QM         Coordinator review and approve the dataset process document for use with the QII. This review will determine if the existing dataset process needs modification.					
<b>Change:</b> What change(s) can you make that will result in imple causes of the problem and how does the change address them? Tools and the Causes and Solutions Tool to help identify impactful unknown; the PDSA can focus on making a change to identify root	rovement? What are the root Refer to the Checklist of Analysis changes. Root causes can be t causes.	The root cause(s) of this issue is(are) The root cause(s) of this issue not comfortable discussing or helping individuals be present given its often unusual symptoms. The provider training to improve skills and practices re- hygiene and recognizing and acting on possible si	. The change(s) we re is(are) unknown. However, we with personal hygiene issues, or in the change(s) we plan to make are elated to discussing and helping in igns and symptoms of a UTI.	e plan to make are: believe that providers are noticing when a UTI may e: Design and conduct ndividuals with personal			

PDSA Worksheet Example					Qualit Impro Initiat	y vement ive	Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	
Update dates: Enter the date each time you update this PDSA.	7/15/2022							
Dian	The change we are testing for this PDSA is Ir	summary, our plan for	testing this change is t	o The chang	e we are testing	for this PDSA is to	design and conduct a	
What change are you going to test for this PDSA? Clearly describe it.           Think about it like writing a recipe, so that somebody else could follow your	training on personal hygiene and signs and symptoms of UTIs that more than 60% of licensed DD providers will attend. In summary, our plan for testing this change is to track the number of providers in attendance and use a pre-test/post-test design.							
unections. Include wild, wild, wild, wild, wild, wild, wild how as include. <u>Note:</u> <u>N</u>	TASKS: List the tasks needed to test this change. You can add more rows for additional tasks as needed, or use the 'Additional Tasks' tab.	Owner	Indicator of Success	Anticipated Begin and End Dates: List both the begin and end dates for this task as a date range.	Date Completed	Final Result of this Task. Don't forget to update the 'Do' section as needed.	Comments	
	Establish a work group for this project.	RMRC	Meeting schedule	7/1/2021-6/30/2022	7/15/2021	Work group	Five members	
	Develop training goals, objectives, content and duration.	OIH and Work Group	Training description	8/1/21 - 8/31/2021	8/31/2021	Goals, objectives, and content developed.		
	Develop pre-test and post-test questions.	OIH and Work Group	Pre and post test	8/1/21 - 8/31/2021	8/15/2021	Pre/post-test developed.	good collaboration	
	Determine if registration can include pre-test questions.	OIH and Work Group	Result	8/1/21 - 8/31/2021	8/15/2021	Abandoned	Decided not to do this	
	Publicize training opportunity and open registration.	OIH	Registration	9/1/21-9/30/2021	9/1/2021	Publicized training	Provider listserv email	
	Conduct web-based training.	ОІН	Recording	On 11/30/2021	11/8/2021	Training conducted		
	Collect attendees demographics and do posttest. Analyze pre-test and post-test data and compare results.	OIH OIH and Work Group	Attendee data Report of results	On11/30/2021 12/1/31-12/31/2021	11/8/2021 12/15/2021	Post-test done Data analyzed	Done DQV did this	
	Share results with the RMRC and QIC.	OIH and Work Group	Minutes	2/1/22-2/8/22	2/14/2022	Results were shared.	Done!	
PLAN TO STUDY: How will you study this change? First, make a prediction (i.e. hypothesis). Then, plan how you will test that prediction. Studying your change is different than measuring your Aim. For example, if you provided an educational resource or training, did it result in improved knowledge or practice? If you implemented a new tool, did people use it correctly ? What data or information do you need, and how will you get it? Then - What products will show your results? You can use tools such as a report, run chart, data table, presentation, etc.	Change), the result will be participants will feel more comfortable and have additional skills for discussing and helping with personal hygiene issues, and be able to identify and act on signs and symptoms of UTIs. The data or information we will use to study this prediction is:							
<b>RESOURCES:</b> What resources do you need to implement the initiative. Examples include: currently available or new resources needed: people, report development, technology needs, data needs, collaborations with other offices or agencies, etc. Are there resources you need beyond your authority to ask, direct or employ? This is an opportunity to share with the QIC.	The resources we need are: The resources we need are sta pre and post test.	ft to design and conduct	mple	iism to promote the train	ing and track pai	rticipants. Staff to o	Jesign and implement the	
Do Describe what actually happened when you ran the test. Describe what	What happened waswe planned the training and the pre,	/post-test. We decided	to offer the training sev	eral times. So far we hav	e done the first t	raining.		
worked well. What positive aspects did you observe and experience? Describe the barriers or challenges. What made those things difficult? What impact did they have on the test? Describe how you collected and analyzed the data you needed.	What worked well wasThe training information and materials were designed to meet the learning objectives. We were able to do a pre-test for people who registered and also count the number of people who registered. We were able to offer a post-test to people who attended the training. The barriers and challences wereIt was not easy to match the post-test with the pre-test because of how the web-based training system is set up. We had to do it manually and were not able							
	to include all attendee's responses. We collected the data / information we needed byDoin	a pre-test and post-tes	t to assess improvemen	t in knowledge and skills	Out of 150 atte	ndees we were on	y able to match 40 pre-	
	tests to post-tests. This is an area for improvement. NOTE: FAKE DATA HERE FOR THE EXAMPLE							
Study Describe the measured results and how they compared to the	Ine results of our data analysis showed For the 40 participants with matched pre-tests and post-tests, 85% gained knowledge and skills regarding personal hygiene, and 70% said they learned new strategies to identify UTIs. We feel these are successful results. NOTE: FAKE DATA HERE FOR THE EXAMPLE							
predictions. What are the results of your data analysis? How did they compare to the predictions? Did the change result in the expected outcome? Describe any surprises	The surprises or unexpected results wereWhen we just looked at the pre-test results, we were surprised at the low percent of people who felt comfortable talking about hygiene of sex organs as it relates to UTIs; out of 150 people, 30% said they felt comfortable doing this. NOTE: FAKE DATA HERE FOR THE EXAMPLE							
understanding of the test? What did you learn? How will this impact your next steps?	We learned         that this training meets a need. Also, we need a better system to match pre-tests to post-tests, or just do a post-test that assesses knowledge before and since the training.           This will impact what we do next byTesting out a retrospective post-test.							
Act Describe what you learned and what you will do next: Adapt, Adopt or Abandon? Adapt: Change some things about this Plan and test it again. Adopt: This change worked and maybe we can 'hard wire' it into our practice, and expand it to other areas if appropriate. Abandon: This change did not work and is not worth trying again. We may revisit our AIM and Plan and start fresh.	We will (XX) Adapt () Adopt () Abandon () Complet we do the pre/post-test to get better data. Next time, we skills before and after the training, in one questionnaire.	e this strategy because willdo the 2nd trainin EXar	a Ne g and tay a retrospectiv	xt time, we willThe tr e post-test approach whe	aining worked w	ell and met a need l ng attendees to rep	out we want to adapt how ort their knowledge and	