

PC	R Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
		Value(s)	
1.	Does this case meet the	Yes	Yes: Case meets criteria for individual who is excluded
	criteria for partial review (SC	☐ No	but provider does not have any alternates – SC
	documentation and		documentation and SC interview required only.
	interview only)?		
			No : Case does not meet criteria for SC documentation
			and SC interview only.
2.	Effective date of ISP	Date field	The reviewer will document the effective date of the ISP
	reviewed.		reviewed and used to score elements.
3.	The ISP for this review	Yes	Yes : The current ISP was completed in 365 days or less.
	period is within 365 days of	No No	The ISP must be in completed or <i>pending provider</i>
	the previous ISP.	∐ N/A	completion status.
			No. The ICD was completed greater than 205 days OD
			No : The ISP was completed greater than 365 days OR the ISP is in <i>pending SC completion</i> status.
			the 15F is in penality 3c completion status.
			N/A: Individual has been receiving waiver support for
			less than one year.
4	The ISP reviewed identified	Yes	Yes: All medical needs identified in the SIS or other
	all medical needs found in	No No	relevant assessments are addressed in the ISP.
	the SIS or other relevant	∏ N/A	
	assessments.	,	No : Review of the most recent assessments
			notes medical needs NOT addressed in the ISP.
			N/A: Individual has no medical needs identified in the
			assessments utilized to develop the ISP, or in the ISP
			reviewed.
5.	The ISP reviewed identified	Yes	Yes : All behavioral needs identified in the SIS or other
	all behavioral needs found	∐ No	relevant assessments are addressed in the ISP.
	in the SIS or other relevant	∐ N/A	
	assessments.		No: Review of the most recent assessments
			notes behavioral needs NOT addressed in the ISP.
			N/A: Individual has no behavioral needs identified in the
			assessments utilized to develop the ISP, or in the ISP
			reviewed.
6.	Were any assessments	Yes	Yes: The ISP was changed after initiation based on
	completed after the	No	assessment(s) completed after the start of the ISP plan
	initiation of the ISP and used		year.
	to inform changes to the		
	ISP?		No: The ISP was not changed after initiation based on
			assessment(s) completed after the start of the ISP plan
			year.
7.	Was the RAT completed	Yes	Yes: Full RAT accurately identifies all potential risk
	accurately?	☐ No	factors for the individual.
			No: Full RAT does not accurately identify all potential risk
			factors for the individual, OR only the summary page of
	Describe ICD Described		RAT was provided for reviewer assessment.
გ.	Does the ISP Part II include	Yes	Yes : Review of the ISP confirms that all high-risk health
	all high-risk health factors?	No	factors identified in the assessments completed in
		∐ N/A	conjunction with ISP development are incorporated into the ISP as appropriate.
			по по из пригорините.
			No : Review of ISP does not confirm all high-risk health
			factors identified in assessments completed in
			conjunction with ISP development are incorporated into
			the ISP as appropriate.
			N/A: Individual has no high-risk health factors evidenced
			in the assessments used to develop the ISP.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
 Describe any risks or potential risks that are not included in ISP Part II. 	Text Field	
10. Is Part I of the ISP complete and thorough?	Yes No	 Yes: Review of the ISP Part I includes: The individual's ISP meeting details, Talents & Contributions, Important to/for and wants/does not want. Information provided must be in person-centered language.
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates it does NOT include all aspects of person-centered planning described in bullets, and/or does not capture how the person is best supported.
11. Does the ISP Part II include medications?	Yes No N/A	Yes : Review of the ISP Part II includes the individual's medications.
		No: Review of the ISP Part II does not include the individual's medications. N/A: Review of the ISP Part II identified that the
		individual does not have any prescribed or over-the- counter medications.
12. If yes, is there documentation of side effect review?	Yes No	Yes : Review of the ISP Part II includes where to locate the individual's medication side effects. No : Review of the ISP Part II does not include where to
		locate the individual's medication side effects.
13. Does the ISP Part II include the individual's social, developmental, behavioral,	Yes No	Yes : Review of the ISP Part II includes the individual's social, developmental, behavioral, and family history.
and family history?		No : Review of the ISP Part II does not include the individual's social, developmental, behavioral, and family history.
14. Does the ISP Part II include the individual's communication, assistive technology and	Yes No	Yes : Review of the ISP Part II includes the individual's communication, assistive technology and modifications needs.
modifications needs?		No : Review of the ISP Part II does not include the individual's communication, assistive technology and modifications needs.
15. Does the ISP Part II include	Yes	Yes: Review of ISP Part II confirms that employment
the individual's employment status and assessment of barriers to employment?	☐ No ☐ N/A	options were discussed, and the individual's decision related to employment is documented.
		No : Review of ISP Part II did not confirm that employment options were discussed, and the individual's decision related to employment is not documented.
		N/A : The individual was under the age of 14 OR over the age of 65 when the ISP was developed.
16. Does the ISP Part II include the individual's meaningful day and community involvement status?	Yes No	Yes : Review of the ISP Part II confirms the individual's meaningful day and community involvement status was discussed.
		No : Review of the ISP Part II does not confirm the individual's meaningful day and community involvement status was discussed.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
17. Did the individual have	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates that the individual
support from people during	No	was given the opportunity to invite preferred people to
the development of the ISP		participate in the planning process.
that they wanted?		No. Pavious of the ICD section Lie not complete, or it is
		No : Review of the ISP section I is not complete, or it is not clear from documentation that the individual was
		able to invite preferred people to participate in the
		planning process.
18. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
the life area of Employment	No	Employment as Important To the individual, AND Part III
as appropriate.	□ N/A	includes Outcome in life area of Employment.
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
		Employment as Important To the individual WITHOUT
		Part III Outcome in life area of Employment.
		N/A: individual is NOT between ages 14 and 65 OR if ISP
		Part I OR if Part II Employment section indicates
		individual is not interested in seeking employment .
19. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
the life area of Integrated	No	Integrated Community Involvement.
Community Involvement as	□ N/A	as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes
appropriate.		Outcome in life area of Integrated Community
арр. ор. шес.		Involvement.
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
		Integrated Community Involvement as Important To the
		individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of
		Integrated Community Involvement.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Integrated
		Community Involvement as Important To them in ISP
		Part I.
20. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
the life area of Community	No	Community Living.as Important To the individual, AND
Living as appropriate.	∏ N/A	Part III includes Outcome in life area of Community
Living as appropriate.	,	Living.
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
		Community Living as Important To the individual
		WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Community
		Living.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Community
		Living as Important To them in ISP Part I.
21. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Safety
the life area of Safety &	No	& Security as Important To the individual, AND Part III
Security as appropriate.	∐ N/A	includes Outcome in life area Safety & Security.
		No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Safety &
		Security as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III
		Outcome in life area of Safety & Security.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Safety &
		Security as Important To them in ISP Part I.
22. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Healthy
the life area of Healthy	No	Living as Important To the individual, AND Part III
Living as appropriate.	∐ N/A	includes Outcome in life area Healthy Living.
	1	



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Healthy Living as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Healthy Living.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Healthy Living as Important To them in ISP Part I.
23. Outcomes are developed in the life area of Social & Spirituality as appropriate.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To the individual, AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Social & Spirituality.
		No: Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Social & Spirituality
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Social & Spirituality as Important To them in ISP Part I.
24. Outcomes are developed in	Yes	Yes : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
the life area of Citizenship &	☐ No	Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To the individual,
Advocacy as appropriate.	∏ N/A	AND Part III includes Outcome in life area Citizenship & Advocacy
		No : Review of the ISP Part I indicates life area of
		Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To the individual WITHOUT Part III Outcome in life area of Citizenship & Advocacy.
		N/A: Individual did not identify life area of Citizenship & Advocacy as Important To them in ISP Part I.
25. Are all outcomes identified in Part III linked to Part V PFS as appropriate?	Yes No	Yes : Review of documentation confirmed provider Part V includes all outcomes assigned to them in ISP Part III.
		No : Review of documentation did not confirm provider Part V includes all assigned outcomes OR Part V does not include the services and supports provider has identified to achieve the outcomes.
26. Does the ISP include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement that occurs	Yes No N/A	Yes : Review of documentation validated that strategies for solving conflict or disagreement during ISP planning process were discussed and resolved.
during the ISP meeting with ISP supports, outcomes, or individual decisions?		No : Review of documentation did not validate that the Support Coordinator documented and resolved conflict or disagreement during the ISP planning process.
		N/A : No evidence of conflict or disagreement with the process was found in the record which required resolution by Support Coordinator.
27. Date WaMS documentation review completed.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date WaMS review is completed, and elements are scored.
28. Date Support Coordinator documentation review completed.	Date field	Reviewer will document the date all SC documentation provided through EHR or upload has been reviewed.
29. Date(s) of quarterly ISP review by SC during lookback.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date(s) of ISP Quarterly review signed by the Support Coordinator during the lookback. This element will repeat to capture all review dates within the review lookback period.
30. The ISP and/or other SC documentation confirmed review of the ISP was	Yes No N/A	A "Yes" rating is indicated when the ISP was reviewed quarterly or every 90 days.
conducted with the individual quarterly or every 90 days.		A "No" rating is indicated when the ISP was not reviewed quarterly or every 90 days, OR if SC documentation



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community Services Performance Contract		indicates provider Quarterly Review was not submitted timely to include.
		A "N/A" rating is indicated if the individual has been enrolled in waiver services for less than 90 days.
31. The ISP and/or other SC documentation supports that the individual was given a choice regarding services and supports, including the individual's residential setting, and who provides them. Source: FY 2019 and FY 2020 Community Services Performance Contract, DOJ Settlement Agreement Joint Filing Indicator V.I.1 and V.I.2	Yes No	 A "Yes" rating is indicated when the following criteria are met: The ISP and/or other individual record documentation demonstrates that education materials were presented in an accommodating format for the individual and/or authorized representative or family AND The ISP and/or other individual record documentation demonstrates that annual education was provided about less restrictive community options to any individuals living outside their own home or family's home, or non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting AND The Virginia Informed Choice form is present.
		 A "No" rating is indicated when the following criteria are met: The ISP and/or other individual record documentation does not demonstrate that education materials were presented in an accommodating format for the individual and/or authorized representative or family OR The ISP and/or other individual record documentation does not demonstrate that annual education was provided about less restrictive community options to any individuals living outside their own home or family's home, or non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting OR The Virginia Informed Choice form is not present.
32. The ISP includes signatures of the individual (or representative) and all providers responsible for its implementation.	☐ Yes ☐ No	A "Yes" rating is indicated when the ISP is signed AND dated by the individual/representative and all providers responsible for its implementation. A "No" rating is indicated when the ISP is NOT signed AND dated by the individual/representative and all providers responsible for its implementation.
33. Date of contact:	mm/dd/yyyy	Reviewer will enter the date of each contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian, for the defined lookback period/evaluation timeframe.
34. Type of contact:	Face-to-face (In person) Phone Video/virtual	This element will repeat. Reviewer will enter the type of each contact with the individual/authorized rep/guardian. Face-to-face: contact was completed face-to-face with the individual/authorized rep/guardian Phone: contact was completed telephonically Video/virtual: contact was completed virtually
		This element will repeat .



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
35. The ISP was developed according to the processes required.	Yes No	 Yes: A yes rating is indicated when evidence supports the ISP reviewed: Was developed in coordination with the individual and their family/caregiver, as appropriate, all providers, and others as desired by the individual. Includes updated VIDES, completed within a year of previous VIDES; and Includes accurately updated RAT. No: A no rating is indicated when any of the above criteria were not followed
36. If No, please describe ISP development processes that were not followed as required.	Text field	
37. Did the individual have a change in status during the lookback?	Yes No	Yes : Documentation indicated that the individual had a change in status during the lookback.
38. The ISP and/or the individual's file included documentation the support coordinator identified and resolved any unidentified or inadequately addressed risk, injury, need, or change in status, a deficiency in the individual's support plan or its implementation, or a discrepancy between the implementation of supports and services and the individual's strengths and preferences. Source: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Community Services Performance Contract		No: Documentation indicated that the individual did not have a change in status during the lookback. A "Yes" rating is indicated when there is documentation of proper identification of changes in status by the SC AND evidence that the individual's support planning team was convened by phone, video, or in-person to address the issue. A "No" rating is indicated when there is NOT documentation of proper identification of changes in status by the SC OR that the individual's support planning team was NOT convened to address the issue.
39. Describe any inadequately addressed or previously unidentified risk, injury, need, change in status, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, and/or discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and the individual's strengths and preferences.	Text field	If the preceding scored element is answered "No," the reviewer will document the findings.
40. Additional assessments for conditions listed have been offered and/or completed.	Yes No N/A	Yes: Review of documentation indicate additional assessments for any condition(s) listed has been completed or is in progress.
		No : Review of documentation indicates additional assessments for any conditions listed are indicated and evidence does not confirm additional assessment has been offered and/or completed.
		N/A: Individual's record does not show evidence any of the identified medical/behavioral conditions listed.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
Case Summary	value(5)	
41. Is there a concern that	Yes	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up
needs follow-up?	No	
42. Type of Concern	Clinical review needed HSW concern	No: There are no concerns that require follow-up. Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the need for assistance in reviewing clinical information HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing
43. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that: Need to be addressed by a clinical lead Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up
44. Summary of HSW	Text field	
45. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide a response to the concern/request for review
46. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
47. Clinical Reviewer Notes		
48. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials
49. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was conducted.
50. How was the interview completed?	☐ Virtually via webinar ☐ Telephonically ☐ In-person	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was completed.
51. Name of Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will enter the name of the SC
52. Contact information for Support Coordinator	Text field	Reviewer will document the contact information (i.e., phone number, email, etc.) of the SC.
53. Was the interviewee the primary or an interim SC?	Primary Interim Interviewee temporarily assigned individual	Reviewer will document if the SC is the primary or an interim SC providing temporary coverage for the primary SC being unavailable, not currently assigned to a primary SC due to SC leaving, or a supervisor providing coverage due to the primary SC being new/in training)
54. How long has the SC supported the individual?	<pre>3 months 3 to 6 months 6 months to 1 year > 1 year to 5 years > 5 years to 10 years > 10 years</pre>	Reviewer will enter the amount of time the SC has supported the individual.
55. Was the individual receiving ECM or TCM?	ECM TCM	The reviewer will select the type(s) of case management received. The reviewer will select both if both were received during the lookback period.
56. How did you make this determination?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.
57. How do you monitor the individual's support and services?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.
58. Can you describe the risks identified in the ISP?	Text field	Reviewer will document the SC's response.
59. Did the support coordinator accurately report the risks	Yes No	Yes : The support coordinator was able to accurately report the risks addressed in the most recent ISP.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
addressed in the most		No : The support coordinator was not able to accurately
recent ISP?		report the risks addressed in the most recent ISP.
60. Did the individual have a	Yes	The reviewer will document the SC's response.
change in status during the	☐ No	
lookback period?		
61. If yes, what did you do to	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.
address the change in		
status?	Yes	Vac. The support or adjustes was able to accurately
62. Did the support coordinator accurately report changes in	No No	Yes : The support coordinator was able to accurately report changes in status which occurred during the
status that occurred during		lookback.
the lookback period?		ioonsack.
		No : The support coordinator was not able to accurately
		report the changes in status which occurred during the
		lookback.
63. Does the individual's file	Yes	Yes : The individual's file has evidence actions taken to
show evidence of the	No .	address changes in status which occurred during the
actions taken to address the	□ N/A	lookback are documented appropriately.
change in status as reported		No. The individual's file deep makingly de avidence
by the support coordinator?		No : The individual's file does not include evidence actions taken to address changes in status which
		occurred during the lookback are documented
		appropriately.
		appropriately.
		N/A: Action taken by SC occurred after the lookback and
		would not be evidenced in the individual's record
64. What do you do when a	Talk to my	The reviewer will select all responses reported by the SC.
provider is not	supervisor	
implementing the plan as	Query the	
written?	provider	
	Contact	
	provider	
	management Convene a team	
	meeting	
	Contact the	
	guardian	
	Contact APS	
65. What do you do when there	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.
is a conflict in the ISP		
planning process?		
66. Are all medical and	Yes	Yes: The support coordinator confirms all medical and
behavioral support needs	∐ No	behavioral needs for the individual are currently addressed.
currently being addressed, either through documented		addressed.
supports or in progress		No : Support Coordinator reports there are medical or
referral?		behavioral needs that are NOT currently addressed
referran		through documented supports and/or monitoring, or an
		in-progress referral.
67. If No, please describe the	Text field	The reviewer will document the SC's response.
unaddressed need, including		
what barriers prevent		
adequate support from		
being implemented.	T	
68. Enter any TA discussed with the SC	Text field	
Case Summary		
69. Is there a concern that	Yes	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up
needs follow-up?	No	No : There is a concern that requires follow-up
70. Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the
	needed	need for assistance in reviewing clinical information



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
	HSW concern	HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing
71. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that: Need to be referred to clinical lead Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up
72. Summary of HSW	Text field	·
73. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The HSW Lead will provide a response to the concern/request for review
74. Clinical Reviewer Response 75. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
76. Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials
PROVIDER TAB		creacitiais
Provider Record Review		
77. Date of completed provider documentation review.	Date field	Reviewer will enter the date of provider notes/documentation review.
78. Is there evidence of completion of an annual physical exam?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes : Documentation indicated that the individual had an annual physical exam within the past 14 months from the present day
		No : Documentation was not provided to indicate that the individual had a physical exam within the past 14 months from present day.
79. Is there evidence of completion of an annual dental exam?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Yes : Documentation indicated that the individual had an annual dental exam within the past 14 months from present day.
		No : Documentation was not provided to indicate that the individual had a dental exam within the past 14 months from present day.
80. Did the provider identify any changes to needs or status during the lookback period?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes : The provider identified and documented changes to needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.
		 No: Review of documentation confirmed that: The provider did not document any changes to needs and/or outcomes/support activities OR The provider did not document any changes to individual desires.
		N/A: Should be selected if Individual did not have any new needs or change to status during lookback period.
81. Was there evidence that the provider implemented actions to address the changing needs and/or status?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes : Review of documentation confirmed that the provider implemented actions to address the changing needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.
		No : Review of documentation did not confirm that the provider implemented actions to address the changing needs and/or outcomes/support activities and/or individual desires.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
	value(s)	N/A : Actions were not warranted to address the change (for instance, follow-up with physicians and/or other providers confirmed that changes were not necessary).
82. Describe any inadequately addressed or previously unidentified change in needs or outcomes/support activities, deficiency in support plan or support implementation, discrepancy between support implementations, services provided, and the individual's strengths and preferences, and/or lack of follow-up regarding an individual's stated desires.	Text box	The reviewer will document any findings from review of the individual's documentation.
83. Is there a record of the individual receiving and signing their HCBS rights disclosure on an annual basis? 84. Does the individual require	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐ Yes	Yes: Documentation of a signed HCBS rights disclosure was provided by the provider. No: This document was not provided N/A: Provider service type under review are Case Management, In-home support (In-home residential), or Independent Living Supports. A "Yes" rating is indicated when the individual's record
modification to HCBS rules for health and safety risks?	□ No	indicates modification to HCBS rules is required for health or safety risks A "No" rating is indicated when the individual's record indicates modifications to HCBS rules for health and safety risks are not required.
85. If yes, is there an approved modification in place for health and safety risks or is the provider in process of requesting such approval?	☐ Yes ☐ No	A "Yes" rating is indicated when provider documentation includes evidence an approved HCBS Rights modification is in place for a health and safety risk, or provider has requested the approval and it is in process. A "No" rating is indicated when provider documentation includes evidence restricting individuals' HCBS rights without an approved modification OR policies demonstrating setting-wide restrictions on HCBS requirements.
86. Date of observation/interview 87. Name of provider staff	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the face-to-face observation was conducted. Reviewer will enter the name of staff selected by HSAG
selected by HSAG for observation:	Text field	for observation.
88. Was observation completed with staff selected by HSAG?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	A "Yes" rating is indicated when: Staff observed was selected by HSAG reviewer. A "No" rating is indicated when: Staff observed were not selected by HSAG reviewer. A "N/A" rating is indicated when individual has only one (1) staff listed for service provision by provider.
89. If No, name of staff observed.	Text field	Reviewer will note name of staff observed if other than staff selected by HSAG.
90. Address of service provision where observation occurred.	Text field	Address must be complete, including street address, city, state, and zip code.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
91. How was the interview	Value(s) Virtually via	Reviewer will select the method in which the review was
completed?	webinar	completed.
,	In-person	F
92. Did face to face interview of	Yes	
staff include observation of	∐ No	
individual and their service		
provision? 93. Is the individual's/provider's	Yes	The reviewer will observe and assess the individual's
environment neat and	No	environment.
clean?	UTA	
		Yes : The environment is clean.
		No. The equipment is not along / source more material
		No : The environment is not clean/concerns were noted.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this
		option if individuals refuse direct observation of their
		personal environment
94. Was the person's/provider's	Yes	Yes: The environment meets the needs of the individual
environment accessible?	∐ No □ UTA	and they are able to access common areas of the service location.
	LIOIA	
		No : The environment does not meet the needs of the
		individual and/or there are areas of the service location
		that they cannot access (ex. Kitchen, living room)
		UTA: Unable to access Device your will ank you this
		UTA : Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if individuals refuse direct observation of their
		personal environment
95. Does the individual appear	Yes	The reviewer will observe and assess the individual for,
well kempt?	☐ No	at a minimum, the items noted in the subsequent
	UTA	element.
		Vest The individual appeared well kempt
		Yes: The individual appeared well kempt.
		No : The individual did not appear well kempt/concerns
		were noted.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this
		option if individuals refuse direct observation of their person.
96. Were staff engaging with	Yes	Yes: The staff were supporting the individual and
the individual based on the	No	engaging them in preferred activities as indicated in their
person's preference and	UTA	ISP.
interests?		No. The staff of a second constitution (the staff of all OR
		No : The staff were not engaging with the individual OR they were engaging with the individual in ways that are
		not congruent with their ISP.
		UTA: Unable to assess.
97. Was the person being	Yes	Yes: The staff were offering the individual meaningful
offered choices throughout the visit?	No No	choices during the visit and supporting them with
the visit?	L UTA	following through with their choice.
		No : The staff did not offer the individual options that
		allowed for meaningful choices to be made OR did not
		offer choices at all when choices were possible.
		UTA: Unable to assess
98. Was the staff utilizing	Yes	UTA: Unable to assess. Yes: The staff used first person language throughout the
person centered language	No	visit and addressed the individual directly.
and talking with the	UTA	



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
individual as opposed to		No: The staff did not use first person language, did not
about the individual?		address the individual directly, or attempt to
		communicate in the preferred method of the individual.
		UTA: Unable to assess.
99. Were staff implementing	Yes	A "Yes" rating is indicated if the reviewer is able to
the Part V as written?	☐ No	observe service provision in action, and confirm it
	UTA	accurately represents provider Part V Plan for Supports.
		A "No" rating is indicated if the reviewer is NOT able to
		observe service provision in action that accurately
		represents provider Part V Plan for Supports.
		UTA: Reviewer did not directly observe service provision.
100. If No, describe	Text field	Reviewer will document deficiencies observed in services provided or plan implementation.
101. For individuals with a	Yes	Yes : The staff utilized strategies identified in the BSP or
behavioral support plan or	No No	behavior protocol to support the individual during the
protocol, were staff	UTA	visit.
following strategies as	N/A	
outlined in the written	N//\	No : The staff did not use strategies identified in the BSP
plan?		or behavior protocol to support the individual during the
pio		visit as needed.
		UTA: Unable to assess. Reviewer did not observe any of
		the targeted behaviors during the visit.
		N/A: The individual does not have a behavior support
		plan.
102. Were staff adhering to	Yes	Yes : The staff adhered to medical protocols in support of
medical protocols as	∐ No	the individual during the visit as required.
outlined in the plan?	UTA	
	∐ N/A	No : The staff did not adhere to all medical protocols needed to support the individual during the visit.
		NTA Hacklete come Books was a second
		UTA : Unable to assess. Reviewer was unable to observe ANY of the protocols due to need and/or timing.
		N/A: The individual does not have any medical protocols.
103. Were staff able to describe	Yes	Yes : The staff are able to describe what integrated
what community inclusion looks like for the	∐ No □ N/A	community inclusion looks like for the individual.
individual?		No : The staff was not able to describe what integrated
		community inclusion looks like for the individual.
		N/A: Individual does not have outcomes developed
		specific to goals of integrated community inclusion.
104. Did the staff demonstrate	Yes	Yes: The staff demonstrated skills that were appropriate
competency in supporting	No	to support the individual and to ensure that their needs
the individual?	UTA	are being met. (Ex. Staff were able to demonstrate
		appropriate lifting techniques during transfers, staff was
		trained on the individual's ISP and were able to support
		them based on their preferences, staff being able to
		communicate effectively with the individual and
		recognize supports needed, staff appeared trained on
		the needs of the individual as well as the program and
		did not need to rely on others for guidance and direction
		for items within the DSP scope)
		No : The staff did not demonstrate the necessary skills to
		be able to support the individual to be able to meet their
	•	



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		needs. (Ex. Staff did not appear to know what to do and either needed to ask for directions or did not support the individual properly within their scope.
		UTA : Unable to assess. Reviewers will only use this option if pandemic or other health restrictions do not allow for observation.
105. Were there new staff supporting the individual?	Yes No	Yes : Reviewer observed staff supporting the individual during the visit who meet the DBHDS definition of 'new.'
		No : No staff observed supporting the individual during the visit do not meet the DBHDS definition of 'new.'
106. If yes, was there evidence of oversight and monitoring of the new	Yes No	Yes : Reviewer observed appropriate supervision of new staff supporting the individual during visit.
staff?		No : Reviewer did not observe appropriate supervision of new staff during the visit.
107. Does the individual require 1-1 support per Provider Part V?	Yes No	Yes: The individual requires 1-1 supports or has specialized staffing supports detailed in the provider Part V.
		No : The individual does not have specialized support needs per the Provider Part V.
108. If Yes, is 1-1 or specialized staffing support being implemented during	Yes No UTA	Yes: 1-1 supports are being implemented per provider Part V PFS as required during the observation.
observation as required?		No : 1-1 supports are not being implemented per provider Part V PFS as required during the observation.
		UTA : Unable to assess during observation (specialized staffing support is required in the community, but onsite occurs in the home)
109. What types of adaptive equipment does the individual have as part of their most recent plan?	Text field	Reviewer will indicate what adaptive equipment is included in the ISP
110. Are staff familiar with adaptive equipment needs?	Yes No UTA N/A	Yes : The staff supporting the individual are familiar with the adaptive equipment the individual needs, the purpose of the equipment, and how to use the equipment properly in the correct situations.
		No : The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual are either not aware of the equipment and the need for the equipment OR the staff is not properly trained on how to use the equipment or how to support the individual to use the equipment.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation)
		N/A: Individual does not have adaptive equipment.
111. Were staff utilizing adaptive equipment the individual had as part of their plan?	Yes No UTA N/A	Yes : The staff supporting the individual are observed to be utilizing the adaptive equipment as indicated in their ISP. They appear to know how to use the equipment effectively and in the correct situations based on the ISP.
		No : The individual has adaptive equipment and the staff supporting the individual were not utilizing the



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		equipment based on the ISP and to best support the individual.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation).
		N/A: Individual does not have adaptive equipment.
112. Is all equipment in working order?	Yes No UTA N/A	Yes : All adaptive equipment is in working order. The adaptive equipment is not being used due to being in need of repair or is not in working order.
		No : The adaptive equipment is not being used due to needing repair or is not in working order.
		UTA: Unable to assess during observation (adaptive equipment was not needed during the observation OR no observation conducted with individual)
		N/A: Individual does not have adaptive equipment.
113. Has repair or follow-up on repairs been occurring?	Yes No N/A	Yes: The identified equipment is in the process of being repair, follow-up has occurred to repair by DME provider, the item is in the process of being replaced, or consistent follow-up is documented to address needed repairs.
		No: No follow-up has occurred or staff supporting the individual is not aware of any follow-up actions being taken to address the repair.
		N/A : Follow-up on repairs for adaptive equipment is not assigned to this provider
114. Did reviewer observe that all supports being provided were included in the provider Part V?	☐ Yes☐ No☐ UTA	Yes : The reviewer did observe support needs being addressed by support staff that are included in the Provider Part V as a needed support.
		No : The reviewer did not observe that all supports were being provided per provider Part V.
		UTA: Reviewer did not observe supports being provided to individual OR Reviewer did not observe individual.
115. If No, describe 116. Are staff able to describe	Text field	Many Chaff was a ship to describe the individually
things important to and important for the individual?	Yes No	Yes : Staff were able to describe the individual's talents/contributions and what is important to and important for the individual.
a.v.ada.v		No : Staff were not able to describe the individual's talents/contributions and what is important to and important for the individual.
117. Was staff able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this	Yes No	Yes : Staff were able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this environment.
environment?		No : Staff were not able to describe the outcomes being worked on in this environment.
118. Could the staff describe the medical support needs of the individual?	Yes No N/A	Yes : Staff were able to describe the medical support needs of the individual and any signs/symptoms that need to be monitored.
		No : Staff were not able to describe the medical support needs of the individual or described incorrect or incomplete support needs.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		N/A: Individual does not have medical support needs documented in record.
119. Were staff familiar with the medical protocols to support the person?	Yes No N/A	Yes : The staff were familiar with medical protocols to support the person, signs/symptoms to look for, and how to respond appropriately per protocol.
		No : The staff were not familiar with medical protocols to support the individual or were not able to identify the steps or how to respond appropriately per the protocol.
		N/A : The individual does not have any medical protocols.
120. What would staff do if the person experienced a medical crisis?	Text field	The reviewer will enter the staff's response.
121. Could the staff describe behavioral support needs?	Yes No N/A	Yes : The staff were able to describe the individual's behavioral support needs.
		No : The staff were not able to describe behavioral support needs or could only partially describe behavioral support needs.
		N/A : The individual does not have any behavioral support needs.
122. Were staff familiar with the behavioral support plan or protocols developed to support the person?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes: The staff were able to implement behavior protocols as written. Staff are able to describe antecedents, behaviors, minimization or coping strategies, and any other aspects of the behavioral protocol. Staff were implementing strategies to proactively prevent behaviors.
		No: Observation of staff indicates they were not able to support the individual during behavior outbursts per the behavioral protocol, or staff could not explain target behaviors and associated interventions. N/A: The individual observed does not have a Behavioral
123. What steps would you take	Follow the steps	support plan or protocols.
if an individual you are supporting was beginning to experience a mental health or behavioral crisis?	in the person's BSP Call 911 Call REACH or the CSB Crisis line Contact the guardian for direction or follow- up post-crisis	
124. Does the staff know what medications the person is taking or where to locate this information?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	Yes: The staff were able to describe the medications the individual is taking or show you where they verify current medications. No: The staff were not able to describe the medications or show you where this information is recorded that the person is taking.
125. Can the staff list the most common side effects of the medications the person is on or where to locate that information?	Yes No N/A	N/A: The individual does not take ANY medications. Yes: The staff were able to describe the side effects of the medications the individual is taking or show you where to locate the side effects of the medications taken.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
		No : The staff were not able to describe the side effects of
		the medications that the person is taking or show you
		where they would locate them.
		N/A. The individual does not take ANV medications
126. When were you last	< 6 months ago	N/A : The individual does not take ANY medications. Reviewer will document the DSP's response.
trained on Medication	6-12 months	Reviewer will document the DSF's response.
Administration?	ago	
	> 12 months	
	ago	
	Never	
127. When were you last	< 6 months ago	The reviewer will document the DSP's response.
trained on Crisis	6-12 months	
Intervention?	ago > 12 months	
	ago	
	Never	
128. Can you tell me what	Yes	Yes: Staff are able to verbalize the concept of person-
person-centered care	No	centered care or describe the practical application of it in
means?		their service provision.
		No : Staff are NOT able to verbalize the concept of
		person-centered care, or describe the practical
129. Can you explain the	Yes	application of it in their service provision. Yes : Staff are able to verbalize the components of the
individual's rights in your	No	HCBS settings rule or provide specific examples of
program?		implementation in their service provision.
		'
		No : Staff are NOT able to verbalize the components of
		the HCBS settings rule or provide specific examples of
		implementation in their service provision.
130. Enter any TA discussed with the DSP.	Text field	
Case Summary		
131. Is there a concern that	Yes	Yes: There is a concern that requires follow-up
needs follow-up?	No	ap
·		No: There are no concerns that require follow-up
132. Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the
	needed	need for assistance in reviewing clinical information
	HSW	HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health,
	Provider	Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to
	Capacity & Competency	DBHDS and/or Licensing Provider Capacity & Competency: the reviewer scored
	Competency	deficient any element identified as requiring a PCC
		Notification
133. Summary of Clinical	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any
Review Concerns		questions or concerns that:
		Need to be addressed by a clinical lead
		Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up
134. Summary of HSW/Provider	Text field	
Capacity and Competency		
Concerns 135. HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will respond to the concern/request
133. HOW Lead Nespulise	TEAT HEIU	for a review.
136. Clinical Reviewer Response		
137. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document
		additional notes regarding his/her review, including
		documenting the information/records reviewed,
		individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical
		reviewer's review, etc.



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
138. Clinical reviewer name and	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and
credentials		credentials
INDIVIDUAL TAB		
Individual Information		
139. Can and does the	Yes	Yes : The individual can and chooses to participate in the
individual choose to	∐ No	interview process.
participate in the interview		
process?		No : The individual cannot or chooses not to participate in
140 If No solost the reason	Individual or	the interview process. If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
140. If No, select the reason	SDM/Family	will document the reason that the individual cannot or
	declined prior to or	chooses not to participate in the interview process.
	at the time of	chooses not to participate in the interview process.
	arrival for	
	observation.	
	The individual	
	declined upon	
	arrival for	
	observation.	
	The individual is	
	not present at the time of observation	
	with staff.	
	With Stair.	
	Individuals in	
	medical and/or	
	behavioral distress	
	that precluded	
	interview.	
141. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was
		conducted.
142. How was the interview	☐ Virtually via	The reviewer will select the method in which the review
completed?	webinar	was completed.
	Telephonically In-person	
143. If the interview was not	Text field	If the interview was not able to be conducted in private,
able to be conducted in	Text field	the reviewer will document why.
private, describe why		the reviewer will document willy.
Individual Interview		
144. Do you like living here?	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
	No No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
145. Would you like to live	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
somewhere else?	☐ No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
146. Did you choose the people	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
you live with?	No No	
	□ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
	L CND	
147 David Inc.	D v -	N/A Should be selected for individuals who live alone.
147. Do you have a key to your	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
home?	□ No □ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
148. If No, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
14-6. II NO, WIIY HOU!	I EXT HEIU	MESIDEINTIAE CINET
		If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
		will enter the individual's response.
	1	1



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
149. Do you have a key to your	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
bedroom?	∐ No	The reviewer will enter the individual's response
150 If No Juhy not?	CND Text field	The reviewer will enter the individual's response. RESIDENTIAL ONLY
150. If No, why not?	Text field	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
		If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
		will enter the individual's response.
151. Do you open your mail or	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
help with opening your	No	NESIDENTIAL GIVET
mail?	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
152. Do you have visitors at	Yes	RESIDENTIAL ONLY
your home?	No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
153. Do you like attending this	Yes	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY-BASED PROGRAMS
program?	☐ No	ONLY
	☐ CND	
		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
154. Did you get to choose the	Yes	GROUP DAY or other COMMUNITY-BASED PROGRAMS
people you participate in	No No	ONLY
the group with?	∐ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
455 Marilla Black	CND	NOT ADDUCABLE FOR RECOITE (CDICIC
155. Would you like to do	Yes	NOT APPLICABLE FOR RESPITE/CRISIS
something else during the	∐ No □ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response
day? 156. Do you like your staff?	Yes	The reviewer will enter the individual's response. ALL SERVICE TYPES
136. Do you like your stair!	No	ALL SERVICE TIPES
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
157. If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
137. 11 100, Willy 1100:	TEXT HEIG	ALE SERVICE THES
		If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
		will enter the individual's response.
158. If you want to be alone,	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
what can you do?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
159. Who decides what things	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
you get to do?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
160. If you want to go	Yes	Exclude crisis, respite, case management.
somewhere, does your	No No	
provider take you?	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
161. Can you get where you	Yes	Exclude crisis, respite, and case management.
want to go without	∐ No	The reviewer will enter the individuel's recorded
problems? 162. If No, what kinds of	CND Text field	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
problems do you have?	I EXT HEIU	Exclude crisis, respite, and case management.
problems do you nave:		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
163. What if you want to do	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
something but no one else		32 25
wants to?		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
164. Who do you go out into	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
the community with?		
		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
165. Do you spend time in the	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
community doing the	No No	
things you like to do?	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
166. Do you do those things as	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
often as you would like?	∐ No	
	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.



PCR	Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
167.	Are there things you would	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	like to do that you are not	☐ No	
	able to do?	CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
168.	Describe the activities	Text Field	
	individual reports they		
	would like to do but are not able to do.		
169	When you are hungry what	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	do you do?	i ext nerd	
	·		The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
170.	Do you want to attend a	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	church/synagogue/mosque	∐ No	The reviewer will enter the individual's response
	or other religious activity of your choice?	L CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
171.	Do you attend religious	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	services?	No	
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
172.	If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
			If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
			will enter the individual's response.
173.	Are you registered to vote?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
		No	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
		∐ N/A	The section of the death Al/A (full a test to the discount 40)
		L CND	The reviewer will select N/A if the individual is not 18 years of age or older or is unable to vote due to legal
			status.
174.	Did you vote in the last	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	election?	No No	
		∐ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
		L CND	The reviewer will select N/A if the individual is not 18
			years of age or older or is unable to vote due to legal
			status.
175.	If No, why not?	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
			If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
			will enter the individual's response.
176.	Do you participate in your	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	banking?	∐ No □ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
177.	Do you have a job?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	. , ,	No	
		☐ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
		L CND	N/A the individual is NOT between a second and CF
170	. Do you want one?	Yes	N/A: the individual is NOT between ages 14 and 65. ALL SERVICE TYPES
1,0	. 20 you want one:	☐ No	
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
179.	Is your support coordinator	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	currently addressing your	∐ No □ N/A	The reviewer will enter the individual's recorded
	employment goals?	∐ N/A □ CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
180.	Do you feel safe here?	Yes	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	•	No	
		CND	The reviewer will enter the individual's response.
181.	If No, is there a specific	Text field	ALL SERVICE TYPES
	reason why?		If the preceding element is answered, "No," the reviewer
			will enter the individual's response.
		•	•



PCR Tool Element	Allowable	Evaluation Criteria
	Value(s)	
Case Summary		
182. Is there a concern that	Yes	Yes : There is a concern that requires follow-up
needs follow-up?	☐ No	
		No: There are no concerns that require follow-up
183. Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the
	needed	need for assistance in reviewing clinical information.
	☐ HSW concern	HSW concern : the reviewer has identified a Health,
		Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to
104 Cummany of Clinical	Text field	DBHDS and/or Licensing. This section is provided for reviewers to document any
184. Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	l ext field	questions or concerns that:
Neview Concerns		questions of concerns that.
		Need to be addressed by a clinical lead
		Need to be addressed by a climical redu Need to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up
185. Summary of HSW	Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any other notes if
,		additional space is needed.
186. HSW Lead Response		·
187. Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide a response to the
		concern/request for a review.
188. Clinical Reviewer Notes	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document
		additional notes regarding his/her review, including
		documenting the information/records reviewed,
		individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical
		reviewer's review, etc.
189. Clinical reviewer name	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and
and credentials	Yes	credentials.
190. Can the SDM or family member participate in the	No Yes	Yes : The SDM or family member can participate in the interview process.
interview process?		interview process.
interview process:		No : The SDM OR family member cannot participate in
		the interview process.
191. If No, document the	Unable to	If the preceding element is answered, "No" the reviewer
reason	contact	will document the reason that the SDM or family
	SDM/Family	member cannot participate in the interview process.
	Declined	
	No Family	
	Involved	
	Individual	
	prefers family not	
192. Date of interview	to be interviewed	The reviewer will enter the date that the interview was
192. Date of interview	mm/dd/yyyy	conducted.
193. How was the interview	Virtually via	The reviewer will select the method in which the review
completed?	webinar	was completed.
completed.	Telephonically	was completed.
	In-person	
194. Interview completed with	Legal guardian	The reviewer will select all participants interviewed.
·	Authorized rep	· · ·
	Family member	
	SDM	
195. Interviewee contact	Text field	The reviewer will enter contact information for the
information		interviewee (i.e., name, phone number, email address,
100 Billi 60		etc.).
196. Did the SC provide the	Yes	Yes : The SDM and/or family member validated that the
individual with a choice in	No Not Sure	individual was provided a choice in service providers.
service providers, including a choice in SC?	Not Sure	No. The CDM and/or family recombered in the state library
a choice in SC?		No : The SDM and/or family member did not validate that the individual was provided a choice in service providers.
		ine mulvidual was provided a choice in service providers.
		Not Sure : The SDM and/or family member is not sure or
		responds that they do not know if it was discussed.
	1	1



PCR	Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
197.	Did the SC discuss	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member validated that the
	employment goals and	☐ No	SC discussed employment goals and options.
	options with the	☐ Not Sure	
	individual?		No : The SDM and/or family member did not validate that
			the SC discussed employment goals and options.
			Not Sure : The SDM and/or family member is not sure or
			responds that they do not know if it was discussed.
198.	Did the SC discuss	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member validated that the
	community involvement	☐ No	SC discussed community involvement opportunities.
	opportunities with the	☐ Not Sure	
	individual?		No : The SDM and/or family member did not validate that
			the SC discussed community involvement opportunities.
			Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member is not sure or
			responds that they do not know if it was discussed.
199.	Are all of the individual's	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member did not report the
	needs and supports	∐ No	individual has needs or supports that are unmet.
	currently being met?	☐ Not Sure	T CD14 1/ 6 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			No: The SDM and/or family member reported the
			individual has needs or supports that are unmet.
			Not Sure : The SDM and/or family member is not sure if
			the individual has unmet needs or supports.
200	If No, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document any needs or supports that
200.	ii iio, aesense	rext neid	are not being met as reported by the SDM and/or family
			member.
201.	Did you have an	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member reported that
	opportunity to participate	No	he/she had an opportunity to participate in the ISP
	in the ISP development?	Not Sure	development.
	·		·
			No : The SDM and/or family member reported that
			he/she did not have an opportunity to participate in the
			ISP development.
			Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member was not sure
			if he/she had an opportunity to participate in the ISP
202	D (1.1 10D:		development.
202.	Do you feel the ISP is	Yes	Yes : The SDM and/or family member reported that he/she felt the ISP is representative of the individual's
	representative of the person's needs?	☐ No Not Sure	needs.
	person's needs:	Not sure	neeus.
			No: The SDM and/or family member reported that
			he/she did not feel the ISP is representative of the
			individual's needs.
			Not Sure: The SDM and/or family member was not sure
L			if the ISP is representative of the individual's needs.
203.	If No, why not?	Text field	The reviewer will document the interviewee's response.
204.	Does the SDM/Family	Yes	Yes: The SDM and/or family member reported that there
	confirm there are no	☐ No	are no concerns
	concerns regarding the		
	current service providers?		No : The SDM and/or family member reported concerns.
205.	If No, describe	Text field	The reviewer will document the interviewee's response.
	Summary		
206.	Is there a concern that	Yes	Yes : There is a concern that requires follow-up
	needs follow-up?	No	No: There are no concerns that require follow-up.
207.	Type of Concern	Clinical review	Clinical review needed: the reviewer has identified the
		needed	need for assistance in reviewing clinical information



PCR	Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria
		HSW concern	HSW concern: the reviewer has identified a Health, Safety, or Welfare concern that must be reported to DBHDS and/or Licensing
208.	Summary of Clinical Review Concerns	Text field	This section is provided for reviewers to document any questions or concerns that: This needs to be addressed by a clinical lead and/or
			Needs to be referred to DBDHS for follow-up
209.	Summary of HSW	Text field	Reviewer to utilize to document any other notes if additional space is needed
210.	HSW Lead Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer will provide a response to the concern/request for a review
211.	Clinical Reviewer Response	Text field	The clinical reviewer can use this section to document additional notes regarding his/her review, including documenting the information/records reviewed, individuals and/or staff that contributed to the clinical reviewer's review, etc.
212.	Clinical Reviewer notes	Text Field	
213.	Clinical reviewer name and credentials	Text field	The clinical reviewer will enter his/her name and credentials